UNITED STATES' SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(d), Plaintiff, United States of America, supplements its complaint against the Defendants, leave having been granted by this Court. Since the filing of the original complaint on April 9, 1973, as amended on June 6, 1976, the following material events, *inter alia*, have occurred:

1. The Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, acknowledged the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians ("Grand Traverse") as an existing Indian tribe on March 25, 1980. 45 Fed. Reg. 19321 (Mar. 25, 1980). Grand Traverse obtained federal recognition on May 27, 1980, <u>Id.</u>, and is recognized as a political

1504

Filed 04/27/2004

successor to the signatories of the 1836 Treaty of Washington, 7 Stat. 491. Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians v. Director, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, 141 F.3d 635, 637 (6th Cir. 1998).

- As a federally recognized tribe, Grand Traverse exercises governmental powers a. over its members, including the authorization and regulation of the exercise of treaty hunting, fishing, and gathering rights.
- On behalf of itself and its member, Grand Traverse filed a Complaint in b. Intervention in this case on October 26, 1979.
- Federal recognition of the Little River Band of Ottawa Indians ("Little River") was 2. reaffirmed by Congress on September 21, 1994, 108 Stat. 2156, and Little River is recognized as a political successor to the signatories of the 1836 Treaty. 25 U.S.C. §1300k(1).
 - As a federally recognized tribe, Little River exercises governmental powers over a. its members, including the authorization and regulation of the exercise of treaty hunting, fishing, and gathering rights.
 - On behalf of itself and its members, Little River filed a Motion to Intervene and b. its Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief on October 9, 1998. The Court granted the motion on October 15, 1998
- Federal recognition of the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians ("Little Traverse") 3. was reaffirmed by Congress on September 21, 1994, 108 Stat. 2156, and Little Traverse is recognized as a political successor to the signatories of the 1836 Treaty. 25 U.S.C. §1300k(1).

- As a federally recognized tribe, Little Traverse exercises governmental powers a. over its members, including the authorization and regulation of the exercise of treaty hunting, fishing, and gathering rights.
- On behalf of itself and its members, Little Traverse filed a Complaint in b. Intervention on or about June 4, 1999.
- By January 1997, the Plaintiff-Intervenor Tribes had instituted tribal codes and 4. regulations for their members exercising treaty-protected hunting, fishing, trapping, and gathering rights on inland lands and waters within the area ceded by the 1836 Treaty that are not required for settlement within the meaning of the Treaty.
- In 1991, upon the request of Plaintiff-Intervenor Bay Mills Indian Community ("Bay 5. Mills"), the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") promulgated migratory bird hunting regulations for the area ceded by the 1836 Treaty. 56 Fed. Reg. 49626 (Sept. 30, 1991). The USFWS premised the new regulations upon its recognition of Bay Mills' authority to regulate tribal member migratory bird hunting in the ceded area. In 1995, the USFWS regulations were amended to include Grand Traverse, 60 Fed. Reg. 50706 (Sept. 29, 1995), and in 2000, both Little River and Little Traverse were included in the USFWS regulations, 65 Fed. Reg. 53190 (Sept. 1, 2000). These regulations have been renewed annually, most recently in August, 2003. 68 Fed. Reg. 51919 (Aug. 29, 2003).
- In 1999, the United States Forest Service entered into a Memorandum of Understanding 6. Regarding Tribal - USDA-Forest Service Relations on National Forest Lands within the Territories Ceded in Treaties of 1836, 1837, and 1842 ("MOU") with the Tribe members of the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission. Bay Mills is a member of the

Commission and a signatory to the MOU. The MOU recognizes, among other things, 1836 Treaty-based gathering rights on National Forest Lands within the area ceded by the 1836 Treaty.

- The United States alleges that the "right of hunting on the lands ceded, with the other 7. usual privileges of occupancy, until the land is required for settlement" has not been extinguished on inland lands and waters within the area ceded by the 1836 Treaty that are not required for settlement within the meaning of the Treaty.
- Defendants increasingly have interfered with Plaintiff-Intervenor Tribes' treaty protected 8. rights related to inland hunting and fishing. Specifically, defendants have been, since at least 1997 and continuing to this day, interfering with the rights of all Plaintiff-Intervenor Tribes to fish, hunt, trap, and gather on inland lands and waters within the area ceded by the Treaty that are not required for settlement, by enforcing and/or threatening to enforce the natural resource laws and regulations of the State of Michigan against the members of the Tribes. Due to threats of arrest and prosecution, members of the Plaintiff-Intervenor Tribes are not able to fully exercise their treaty-protected rights as mentioned above, without risking prosecution, fines or other action by the Defendants.
- On information and belief, the United States alleges that the above-mentioned acts of the 9. Defendants in the enforcement or threatened enforcement of its natural resource laws and regulations against members of the Plaintiff-Intervenor Tribes is a result of Defendants' erroneous belief that the rights reserved in the 1836 Treaty have been extinguished, except on federal lands that have never passed out of federal control and on which the exercise of those rights is not inconsistent with the purpose of federal ownership.

The United States further alleges that the Defendants and their officers and agents, acting 10. under color of State law, lack jurisdiction to enforce any State statute or regulation on or with respect to ceded lands not required for settlement in such a manner as to prevent the Plaintiff-Intervenor Tribes and their members from exercising treaty protected rights, except to the extent permitted by federal law.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays, in addition to the relief granted on its original complaint, as amended, for judgment as follows:

- A declaratory judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02, that the Plaintiff-Intervenor 1. Tribes continue to have treaty-protected rights to hunt, fish, trap and gather on inland lands and waters within the area ceded by the 1836 Treaty that are not required for settlement within the meaning of the Treaty.
- Retention of jurisdiction by this Court for the purpose of enforcing its judgment and 2. providing further necessary or proper relief in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2202 or other applicable law.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS L. SANSONETTI Assistant Attorney General

Environment and Natural Resources Division

DATED: April 16, 2004

PILAR M. THOMAS

Trial Attorney

United States Department of Justice

Environment and Natural Resources Division

Indian Resources Section

P.O. Box 44378

L'Enfant Plaza Station

Washington, DC 20026-4378

Of Counsel: JESSICA ROFF U.S. Department of the Interior Office of the Solicitor Division of Indian Affairs 1849 C Street NW - MS 6454 Washington, DC 20260

PRISCILLA WILFAHRT U.S. Department of the Interior Regional Office of the Solicitor 1 Federal Drive, Room 686 Bishop Henry Whipple Building Fort Snelling, MN 55111-4007 MARGARET M. CHIARA United States Attorney Western District of Michigan Page 6 of 8

JAMES L. SANTELLE JENNIFER MCMANUS Assistant United States Attorney Western District of Michigan 330 Ionia NW, Suite 501 P.O. Box 208 Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0208

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on or before this 16th day of April, 2004, a true and correct copy of the foregoing United States' Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Complaint, Supplemental Complaint, Stipulation in Response to Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Complaint, and Proposed Order On Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Complaint were served by United States Postal Service first class, postage pre-paid mail to the following:

JAMES M. JANNETTA AARON SCHLEHUBER 523 Ashmum Street Sault Ste. Marie, MI 49783

Attorneys for the Sault Ste. Marie Tribe Of Chippewa Indians

KATHRYN L. TIERNEY 12140 W. Lakeshore Drive Brimley, MI 49715

Attorney for the Bay Mills Indian Community

WILLIAM RASTETTER Of Counsel: Olson, Bzdok & Howard 420 E. Front St. Traverse City, MI 49686

Attorney for the Grand Traverse Band Of Ottawa And Chippewa Indians WILLIAM J. BROOKS 375 River Street Manistee, MI 49660

MARC D. SLONIM Zionitz, Chestnut, Varnell, Berley & Slonim 2101 Fourth Ave., Suite 1230 Seattle, WA

Attorneys for the Little River Band Of Ottawa Indians

JAMES A. BRANSKY 9393 Lake Leelanau Dr. Traverse City, MI 49684

Attorney for the Little Traverse Bay Bands Of Odawa Indians

TODD B. ADAMS
A. MICHAEL LEFFLER
JOHN M. CHARAMELLA
ELAINE DIERWA FISCHHOFF
Assistant Attorneys General
Environment, Natural Resources and
Agriculture Div.
525 W. Allegan St.
Lansing, MI 48913

Attorneys for State of Michigan

JAMES L. SANTELLE JENNIFER MCMANUS Assistant United States Attorney Western District of Michigan 330 Ionia NW, Suite 501 P.O. Box 208 Grand Rapids, MI 49501-0208

Attorneys for United States

Dated: April 16, 2004

PRISCILLA WILFAHRT U.S. Department of the Interior

Regional Office of the Solicitor 1 Federal Drive, Room 686 Bishop Henry Whipple Building

Fort Snelling, MN 55111-4007

JESSICA ROFF

U.S. Department of the Interior Office of the Solicitor Division of Indian Affairs 1849 C Street NW - MS 6454 Washington, DC 20260 Of Counsel for United States

> Pilar M. Thomas Trial Attorney

United States of America