1	Gabriel S. Galanda, WSBA #30331	
2	Anthony S. Broadman, WSBA #39508 Galanda Broadman PLLC	
	11320 Roosevelt Way NE	
3	P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115	
4	(206) 691-3631	
5	Julio Carranza, WSBA #38211 Joe Sexton, WSBA # 38063	
6	Yakama Nation Office of Legal Counsel 401 Fort Road/P.O. Box 151	
7	Toppenish, WA 98948 (509) 865-7268	
8	Attorneys for the Confederated Tribes and	1
9	Bands of the Yakama Nation	•
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES DI EASTERN DISTRICT (
13	CONFEDERATED TRIBES AND BANDS OF THE YAKAMA NATION,	NO. CV-11-3028-RMP
14	a federally-recognized Indian tribal	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT.
15	government and as <i>parens patriae</i> on behalf of the enrolled members of the	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF,
16	Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation;	MANDAMUS, AND <i>BIVENS</i> DAMAGES
17	Plaintiffs,	
	i idiitiiis,	
18	V.	
19	ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., Attorney	
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJ RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND <i>BIVENS</i> DAMAGES - 1	UNCTIVE Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Box 15146

Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631

1	General of the United States; UNITED
2	STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; ROBERT S. MUELLER III, Director of
3	the Federal Bureau of Investigation; FEDERAL BUREAU OF
4	INVESTIGATION; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; JOHN DOE FBI
5	AGENTS 1-100,
6	Defendants.
	Dlaintiff the Confederated Tribes and Dands of the Volvens Nation
7	Plaintiff the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation,
8	signatory to the 1855 Treaty With The Yakama, 12 Stat. 951 ("Yakama
9	Nation" or "Nation"), alleges as follows:
10	I. INTRODUCTION
11	1. The Yakama Nation, both as a federally-recognized Indian tribal
12	government and as parens patriae on behalf of all enrolled members of the
13	Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, seeks a declaratory
14	judgment that Defendants' recent, ongoing, and imminent future entry onto the
15	Yakama Indian Reservation for non-emergency purposes – without notification
16	to or consultation with the Nation – violates the Treaty With The Yakama, 12
17	Stat. 951; various federal consultation laws; and Defendants' own regulations.
18	2. Early in the morning of February 16, 2011, Defendants, acting
19	through scores of federal agents believed to be from Mississippi, invaded the
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 2 Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 3. Defendants implicitly admitted their obligation to notify or consult with the Nation by text-messaging an employee of the Nation and warning him about the invasion. But they failed to do so until after the incursion had commenced indeed until after droves of federal officers from across the United States had descended upon the Yakama Indian Reservation. Text messaging a Yakama employee is not the government-to-government consultation and notification required by federal law. No emergency prevented tribal consultation or notification.
- 4. Absent advance notification to the Nation, or an emergency that might prevent pre-notification, Defendants' invasion of the Yakama Indian Reservation violated the Treaty With The Yakama and other federal laws.

 Defendants have refused agreement to provide pre-notification to the Nation under similar circumstances going forward.
- 5. Because the Yakama Nation's Treaty rights, territorial integrity and members can be secure only with a declaration of rights, and injunctive relief as prayed for herein, the Nation respectfully requests an injunction

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 3

1	preventing future illegal invasions and requiring consultation and pre-
2	notification for entries onto the Nation's land; along with a writ of mandamus
3	ordering Defendants to comply with Treaty, trust and federal legal obligations
4	by (1) notifying the Nation in advance of any entry onto Yakama lands so that
5	Tribal and federal officer and Yakama member safety can be ensured by Tribal
6	police and (2) immediately carrying out their obligations to develop and
7	implement meaningful consultation policies with the Nation.
8	6. The Yakama Nation, as <i>parens patriae</i> only, also seeks
9	compensatory and punitive damages against only those federal officials who, in
10	their individual capacity, have violated the constitutional and Treaty rights of
11	every enrolled member of the Yakama Nation.

II. JURISDICTION

- 7. The District Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1362. The Yakama Nation, a federally-recognized Indian tribal government, asserts claims arising under the 1855 Treaty With The Yakama, 12 Stat. 951; the U.S. Constitution; and other laws of the United States, including Defendants' own regulations, and federal common law.
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction under federal law, including under the following: (a) 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1362, this being an action by a federally-

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	recognized Indian tribal government, asserting claims arising under the 1855	
2	Treaty With The Yakama, 12 Stat. 951; the U.S. Constitution; Bivens v. Six	
3	Unknown Named Agents of the Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971):	
4	and other laws of the United States; (b) 28 U.S.C. § 1361, in that the Nation	
5	seeks mandamus to compel federal officers, federal employees, and federal	
6	agencies to perform a duty owed to the Tribe; (c) 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202,	
7	in that the Nation seeks a declaratory judgment and other proper relief, and (d)	
8	5 U.S.C. § 702, in that the Nation seeks relief for other than money damages	
9	against agencies of the United States and its officers in their official capacities.	
10	9. The Yakama Nation seeks an award of costs and fees, including	
11	attorney's fees, pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412.	
12	10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b),	
13	and (e) because a substantial part of the actions or omissions giving rise to the	
14	claims occurred in this District. The Yakama Indian Reservation is located	
15	geographically within the State of Washington.	
16	III. PLAINTIFF	
17	11. Plaintiff the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama	
18	Nation is a federally recognized Indian tribal government as signatory to the	
19	1855 Treaty With The Yakama, 12 Stat. 951.	

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND $\it BIVENS$ DAMAGES - 5

12.	The Yakama People have resided in and around the current
Yakama I	Indian Reservation since time immemorial. Under Article I of the
Treaty of	1855, the Yakama Nation ceded over 10 million of acres of its
aborigina	l lands to the United States, comprising approximately one quarter of
the State	of Washington.

- The Yakama Nation complains as a tribal government and as parens patriae for all enrolled members of the Confederated Tribes and Bands
- As a matter of sovereignty, and its interests as a sovereign, the Yakama Nation will protect its Treaty from violations by the United States, its agencies, and agents; the Nation will protect its members' Treaty rights; the Nation will protect and enforce Treaty boundaries and rights guaranteed in 1855 to it by the United States; the Nation will protect, enforce, and assert those rights guaranteed to it as a matter of inherent sovereignty, including those recognized through applicable federal laws.
- Against John Doe FBI Agents in their personal capacity only, the Nation, as *parens patriae* only, seeks compensatory and punitive damages on behalf of its enrolled members for the violation of the Treaty With The Yakama pursuant to *Bivens*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 6

1	16. The Yakama Nation reserves the right, with leave of the Court if	
2	necessary, to amend this complaint to include Federal Torts Claims Act	
2	necessary, to unione this complaint to include I ederal Torts Claims Net	
3	(FTCA) claims for trespass, outrage, and other torts following the exhaustion	
4	of FTCA administrative remedies.	
5	17. The Yakama Nation does not waive, alter, or otherwise diminish	
6	its sovereign immunity, whether expressed or implied, by virtue of this lawsuit;	
7	nor does the Nation waive, alter, or otherwise diminish the rights, privileges,	
8	remedies or services guaranteed by the Treaty of 1855.	
9	IV. DEFENDANTS	
10	18. Defendant Eric H. Holder, Jr., is the Attorney General of the	
11	United States of America and is sued in his official capacity.	
12	19. Defendant United States Department of Justice is an agency of the	
13	United States of America. Defendants Holder and the United States	
14	Department of Justice are referred to hereafter as "DOJ."	
15	20. Defendant Robert S. Mueller, III, is the Director of the Federal	
16	Bureau of Investigation, and is sued in his official capacity.	
17	21. Defendant Federal Bureau of Investigation is an instrumentality of	
18	the DOJ. Defendants Mueller and the Federal Bureau of Investigation are	
19	referred to herein as "FBI."	
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 7 Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631	

26.	In 1855 the Yakamas signed a Treaty ceding about 10 million
acres – 90 p	ercent of their aboriginal land – in exchange for the rights
guaranteed b	by the United States.

- 27. The Yakama Nation understandably assigns a special significance to each part of the Treaty at the time of signing and continues to view the Treaty as a sacred document today.
- 28. Defendants promised that the Yakama Nation's Reservation would "be set apart and, so far as necessary, surveyed and marked out, for the exclusive use and benefit of said confederated tribes and bands of Indians, as an Indian reservation; nor shall any white man, excepting those in the employment of the Indian Department, be permitted to reside upon the said reservation without permission of the tribe and the superintendent and agent." 12 Stat. 951, Art. II.
- 29. The Yakama Nation, unlike other tribal governments, has the express and specialized right to withhold permission for entry upon the reservation. The Nation's Treaty includes the express limitation, "excepting those in the employment of the Indian Department" today's Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). 12 Stat. 951, Art. II.

18

1	30. The Treaty does not reference any other department or agency of	
2	the federal government, which per the canon of construction expressio unius	
3	operates to disallow entry upon the Yakama Indian Reservation by any agency	
4	other than the BIA absent the Nation's permission.	
5	31. The United States promised the Yakamas that they could rely on	
6	all of the Treaty's provisions being carried out strictly.	
7	32. The United States and Isaac Stevens, negotiating on behalf of the	
8	United States, considered the Yakamas to be of great importance as they	
9	occupied strategically important territory between Walla Walla and the Puget	
10	Sound.	
11	33. The Yakama Treaty exclusion right, which is not generalized but	
12	express, was explained to the Yakamas as follows:	
13	Looking Glass: Will the agent be there that long to keep the whites from	
14	pushing into our country?	
15	Gen. Palmer said: Certainly.	
16	Looking Glass: Will you mark the piece of country that I have marked	
17	and say the agent shall keep the whites out?	
18	Gen. Palmer: No one will be permitted to go there but the agent and the	
19	persons employed, without your consent.	
	COMDITATINT FOR DECLARATORY HIDGMENT INHINICTIVE Galanda Broadman PLLC	

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 10

Treaty Minutes, 99.

1

2 34. Article VIII of the Treaty With The Yakama provides: "The aforesaid confederated tribes and bands of Indians acknowledge their dependence upon the Government of the United States, and promise to be 5 friendly with all citizens thereof, and pledge themselves to commit no 6 depredations upon the property of such citizens. And should any one or more of them violate this pledge, and the fact be satisfactorily proved before the 8 agent, the property taken shall be returned, or in default thereof, or if injured or destroyed, compensation may be made by the Government out of the annuities. 10 Nor will they make war upon any other tribe, except in self-defense, but will submit all matters of difference between them and other Indians to the 11 12 Government of the United States or its agent for decision, and abide thereby. 13 And if any of the said Indians commit depredations on any other Indians within 14 the Territory of Washington or Oregon, the same rule shall prevail as that 15 provided in this article in case of depredations against citizens. And the said 16 confederated tribes and bands of Indians agree not to shelter or conceal 17 offenders against the laws of the United States, but to deliver them up to the 18 authorities for trial."

19

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 11

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 12

1	39. Upon information and belief, the several federal agents who		
2	invaded the Nation with guns drawn, are based in Mississippi, meaning that the		
3	invasion was planned for several days, and possibly weeks ahead of its		
4	occurrence.		
5	40. The Nation is aware of no emergency, or emergent set of		
6	circumstances that brought the FBI to its Reservation.		
7	41. It was not until the following day, February 16, 2011, at		
8	approximately 6 a.m., when an FBI agent text-messaged Kelly Rosenow,		
9	Yakama Nation Public Safety Commissioner, stating: "Kelly-it's Jen FBI.		
10	About to do a large search on the reservation and wanted you to hear about it		
11	from us 1st. Call me asap for details 509-xxx-xxxx."		
12	42. Mr. Rosenow called the agent, who did not answer. At 6:20 a.m.		
13	Mr. Rosenow and the agent spoke, but by that time, the FBI had already		
14	invaded the Yakama Indian Reservation and executed the warrant.		
15	43. Critically, the Nation was not notified, consulted, or conferred		
16	with before the FBI came on to the Yakama Indian Reservation. Nor did the		
17	FBI in any way honor the Nation's Uniform Extradition Act or the protocols		
18	set forth therein.		
19			
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 13 Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Por 15146		

1	44. Tribal Council Chairman Harry Smiskin wrote FBI Special Agent
2	Frank M. Harrill on February 16, 2011, and emailed the DOJ Office of Tribal
3	Justice, the U.S. Attorney General's Office, the Department of Interior
4	Assistant Secretary of Indian Affairs Larry Echo-Hawk and FBI Agent Frank
5	Harrill on February 17, 2011, expressing the Yakama Nation's concern that it
6	was not pre-notified or consulted with in regard to the FBI's invasion of
7	Yakama lands.
8	45. Through conversations on February 17, 2011, between Chairman
9	Smiskin and Agent Harrill, it was learned that the FBI is researching its
10	consultation obligation, but that Agent Harrill is not aware of any obligation to
11	pre-notify, consult, or otherwise contact the Yakama Nation ahead of such
12	entry.
13	46. Chairman Smiskin reiterated Yakama's objection to federal
14	agents' entry on the Nation's lands without notification, and stated that the
15	Nation would never harbor criminals, but that it must and always will protect
16	the Treaty With The Yakama.
17	47. Chairman Smiskin explicitly sought assurances that the FBI would
18	not reenter the Reservation without first notifying the Nation, which were
19	refused.

employment of the Indian Department."

3

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

Neither the FBI nor the DOJ, nor any of their agents, are "in the

4

5

First Cause of Action: Breach of Treaty With The Yakama of 1855

6

49. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations

Defendants' acts and/or omissions violated and will imminently

U

by reference.

50.

48.

7

interfere with and violate rights guaranteed to the Nation pursuant to the Treaty

8

9

will imminently interfere with and violate the Nation's rights to a Reservation

With The Yakama, 12 Stat. 951. In particular, Defendants have violated and

1011

"set apart and, so far as necessary, surveyed and marked out, for the exclusive

12

use and benefit of said confederated tribes and bands of Indians, as an Indian

13

reservation; nor shall any white man, excepting those in the employment of the

14

Indian Department, be permitted to reside upon the said reservation without

15

permission of the tribe and the superintendent and agent." 12 Stat. 951, Art. II.

16

51. The Treaty With the Yakama requires, at least, notification

17

regarding entry, consultation, and respect for physical Reservation boundaries.

18

52. Defendants invaded the Nation without notifying or consulting the

19

Nation.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 15

1	53.	Defendants cannot identify an emergency	to which they were
2	responding.		
3	54. Defendants were aware that they would enter upon the		nter upon the
4	Reservation	for at least 24 hours before they entered up	oon the Reservation.
5	55. Defendants have no rational reason for not complying with their		
6	notification obligation; indeed, Defendants actually warned a non-elected-		
7	official employee of the Nation before entering onto the Reservation – by text		
8	message.		
9	56.	Defendants have refused to agree to notify	the Nation in the
10	future, despite express requests for such an agreement.		
11	57.	Federal agents in 1855 explained to the Y	akamas that the Treaty
12	installed Yakama "Chiefs" as the primary arbiters of law enforcement		
13	regarding Yakamas on the Reservation.		
14	58.	Under the Treaty, "wrongs" are to be subr	mitted to "the chief or his
15	council or to the agent." And it is they who will make a "decision." At the		
16	very least, the Treaty contemplates Tribal involvement when any of "your		
17	people do wrong."		
18			
19			
		OR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE DAMUS, AND <i>BIVENS</i> DAMAGES - 16	Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631

- 59. Under the doctrine of *pacta sunt servanda*, Defendants were required to conduct themselves in good faith toward the Nation by virtue of the Treaty With The Yakama.
- 60. This obligation of good faith is the baseline standard of conduct for Defendants, who also owe the Yakama Nation a duty of good faith pursuant to their trust responsibility.
- 61. By planning the operation for days ahead of the invasion without notification to the Yakama Nation, and otherwise entering the Nation's lands without notification, Defendants have violated their Treaty and trust obligations of good faith.
- 62. In failing to notify the Yakama Nation, or otherwise consult regarding entry, Defendants violated the Treaty requirement to consult or notify regarding entry based on the Nation's exclusion powers and the No-Depredations clause. In addition, Defendants acted arbitrarily and were and are "otherwise not in accordance with law," particularly common law, in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act, including 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(a).

Second Cause of Action: Breach of Fiduciary Duty

63. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations by reference.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 17

1	64. "[T]he United States has a trust responsibility to Indian tribes,	
2	which guides and limits the Federal Government in dealings with Indian	
3	tribes." 1995 DOJ Policy on Indian Sovereignty and Government-to-	
4	Government Relations with Indian Tribes, II.	
5	65. Pursuant to the United States' trust obligation to the Yakama	
6	Nation, Defendants were required to meaningfully consult with the Yakama	
7	Nation prior to taking any action that affected the Treaty or Treaty lands or	
8	territory.	
9	66. Defendants did not meaningfully consult with the Yakama Nation	
10	in violation of their Trust obligation to do so, as found in the Treaty With The	
11	Yakama, Presidential Order 13175, and several federal and agency-specific	
12	regulations.	
13	67. By failing to meaningfully consult with the Yakama Nation,	
14	Defendants have breached, are breaching, and will imminently breach their	
15	fiduciary duty to the Yakama Nation.	
16	Third Cause of Action: Violation of Executive Order 13175	
17	68. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations	
18	by reference.	
19		
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE	

1	accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely consultation with Tribal
2	leaders in the development of regulatory policies and other actions that affect
3	the trust responsibility of the United States to Indian tribes, any Tribal treaty
4	provision, the status of Indian tribes as sovereign governments, or any other
5	Tribal interest." 28 CFR § 0.134(c)(7).
6	76. There was no "meaningful and timely consultation" with the
7	Yakama Nation's leaders regarding the invasion of the Reservation – a trust
8	issue, a Treaty issue, and a sovereignty issue.
9	77. OTJ is obligated to "[e]nsure that the Department and its
10	components work with Indian Tribes on a government-to-government basis."
11	28 CFR § 0.134(c)(4).
12	78. OTJ was required to "[e]nsure that the consultation process of
13	each component of the Department is consistent with Executive Order 13175
14	and with the Department's consultation policy." 28 CFR § 0.134(c)(8).
15	79. The DOJ, through its OTJ, did none of these things, even though
16	its own internal regulations required it to.
17	80. DOJ's own internal policies require it to "engage with tribal
18	nations on a government-to-government basis." 2010 Department Of Justice
19	

Plan To Develop A Tribal Consultation and Coordination Policy Implementing Executive Order 13175, 1. DOJ has failed to follow its own published policies.

- 81. By failing to meaningfully consult with the Yakama Nation before entering its Reservation, Defendants' acts and/or omissions violated and will imminently violate DOJ and FBI regulations, including but not limited to the following:
- 82. The 1995 DOJ Policy on Indian Sovereignty and Government-to-Government Relations with Indian tribes requires the FBI to "consult with tribal leaders in its decisions that relate to or affect the sovereignty, rights, resources or lands of Indian tribes. [The FBI] will conduct such consultation in light of its mission."
- 83. Although the policy disclaims that it is "not intended to create any right enforceable in any cause of action," it is an agency regulation with which such agency must comply.
- 84. President Obama's Memorandum on Tribal Consultation of 2009 required the DOJ to submit to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), by February 5, 2009, "a detailed plan of actions the agency will take to implement the policies and directives of Executive Order 13175."

19

RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 22

15

16

17

18

19

falling within the investigative jurisdiction of the other agency," MOU Between BIA and FBI, IV(6).

- 90. The failure of the DOJ to convey information indicating a violation of law falling within the investigative jurisdiction of the BIA has harmed the Nation, violated its sovereignty, and violated the Treaty With The Yakama.
- 91. The DOJ Memorandum For USAOs with Districts Containing
 Indian Country, requires every U.S. Attorney's Office (USAO) with Indian
 Country in its district to foster "consistent and effective communication" with
 tribes; engage in annual consultation with the tribes in their district; and
 develop an operational plan addressing public safety in Indian Country. USAO
 operational plans should contain "a plan to develop and foster an ongoing
 government-to-government relationship [and] a plan to improve
 communications with each tribe." David W. Ogden Memorandum for US
 Attorneys with Districts Containing Indian Country of January 11, 2010.
- 92. The failure of the DOJ, acting through its USAO, to undertake each of these directives has harmed the Nation. The DOJ has not consistently or effectively communicated with the Nation, engaged in meaningful annual consultation with the Nation, developed an operational plan addressing public

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 23

1	S
2	٤
3	8
4	E
5	V
6	
7	ϵ
8	C
9	ł
10	I
11	
12	
13	ł
14	
15	C
16	,
17	
18	a
19	t

safety in consultation with the Nation; developed or fostered an ongoing government-to-government relationship with the Nation, nor planned to or actually improved communications with the Nation. Rather, the DOJ has entered the Nation's Reservation without one scintilla of respect for the Treaty With The Yakama.

93. Through each of these actions, DOJ and the FBI created a justified expectation that the Nation would receive a meaningful opportunity for consultation. No meaningful consultation or notification was even attempted by Defendants, in violation of the foregoing regulations, the Administrative Procedure Act, federal Indian common law, and the Treaty With The Yakama.

Fifth Cause of Action: Violation of Administrative Procedure Act

- 94. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations by reference.
- 95. The Yakama Nation and its members have been injured and continue to be injured by Defendants' illegal entry, illegal future entry, and violation of Yakama sovereignty, Treaty rights, and federal law protections.
- 96. Defendants' actions, as outlined in this complaint, are illegal, arbitrary, capricious and abuses of discretion, and agency action for purposes the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND $\it BIVENS$ DAMAGES - 24

1 Sixth Cause of Action: Declaratory Judgment 2 97. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations by reference. 98. 4 The foregoing and following allegations entitle the Yakama 5 Nation to a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and 2202. 6 99. Defendants have violated the Treaty With The Yakama, along with its legal, trust, and statutory obligations to the Nation. 8 100. These violations entitle the Yakama Nation to a declaration that 9 Defendants have violated the Treaty, federal laws, and regulations by failing to notify the Nation of its entry upon the Yakama Indian Reservation. 10 11 Seventh Cause of Action: Parens Patriae Bivens Claim for Damages 12 101. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations by reference. 13 14 102. Defendants John Doe FBI Agents violated the Treaty With The Yakama in their personal capacity. 15 16 103. Against John Doe FBI Agents, the Yakama Nation, as *parens* 17 patriae, seeks compensatory and punitive damages, in an amount to be shown 18 at trial, on behalf of its enrolled members for the violation of the Treaty With 19 The Yakama pursuant to Bivens, 403 U.S. 388.

RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 25

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE

1	104. John Doe FBI Agents entered the Yakama Indian Reservation
2	under the color of federal law, were aware that neither they nor any other
3	Defendant had consulted with, or notified the Nation of such entry, and that
4	such notification or consultation was required.
5	105. John Doe FBI Agents deprived the entire membership of the
6	Yakama Nation of its rights under the Treaty With The Yakama, and therefore
7	the Constitution, by knowingly and intentionally committing those Treaty,
8	trust, and federal law violations alleged in this complaint.
9	Eighth Cause of Action: Writ of Mandamus Under 28 U.S.C. § 1361
10	106. Plaintiff Yakama Nation hereby incorporates all prior allegations
11	by reference.
12	107. Defendants have breached those obligations and duties alleged in
13	this complaint.
14	108. The Yakama Treaty of 1855, other federal law, and Defendants'
15	trust obligation to the Yakama Nation impose a non-discretionary obligation on
16	Defendants to notify the Nation before entering onto the Yakama Indian
17	Reservation under these facts.
18	109. Defendants' actions have harmed, injured, and severely prejudiced
19	the Yakama Nation, directly harming the sovereignty of the Nation and the
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 26 Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631

1	rights of its members to a Reservation as described in the Treaty With The
2	Yakama.
3	110. Accordingly, the Yakama Nation is entitled to a writ of mandamus
4	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1361 to compel Defendants immediately to comply
5	with their obligations, both to provide notification and implement a legally
6	adequate plan for consultation.
7	VII. RELIEF
8	Plaintiff Yakama Nation respectfully requests that this Court:
9	A. A declaratory judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that
10	Defendants have violated and are violating the Yakama Nation's Treaty,
11	statutory, legal, fiduciary, and trust obligations to the Nation by entering onto
12	Yakama lands without notification and consultation regarding the same.
13	B. An injunction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 2202,
14	and the Court's equitable authority ordering Defendants to comply with the
15	Yakama Nation's Treaty rights, and the United State's statutory, legal,
16	fiduciary and trust obligations, by notifying the Nation of any entry onto
17	Reservation lands and consulting regarding the same.
18	C. A writ of mandamus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1361, directing
19	Defendants to comply with their obligations under the Treaty, statute, law, and
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 27 Galanda Broadman PLLC 11320 Roosevelt Way NE P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631

1	federal trust obligations by (1) notifying the Yakama Nation of any entry onto
2	Reservation property so that Tribal and federal officer safety can be ensured by
3	Tribal police and (2) immediately carrying out their obligations to develop and
4	implement meaningful consultation policies with the Nation.
5	D. Compensatory and punitive damages against those federal
6	officials, in their individual capacity, who have violated the constitutional and
7	Treaty rights of every enrolled member of the Yakama Nation.
8	E. Other appropriate injunctive or equitable relief necessary to
9	provide complete relief to the Yakama Nation and its enrolled members.
10	G. Costs and fees, including attorney's fees, under 28 U.S.C. § 2412.
11	DATED this 8th day of March 2011.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE Galanda Broadman PLLC 11222 P. The Property of the Property o

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND *BIVENS* DAMAGES - 28

Case 2:11-cv-03028-RMP Document 1 Filed 03/08/11 1 s/Gabriel S. Galanda Gabriel S. Galanda, WSBA# 30331 2 Anthony S. Broadman, WSBA #39508 Attorneys for Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation 3 GALANDA BROADMAN, PLLC 4024B NE 95th Street/P.O. Box 15146 4 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631 Fax: (206) 299-7690 5 Email: gabe@galandabroadman.com Email: anthony@galandabroadman.com 6 7 s/Julio Carranza Julio Carranza, WSBA #38211 8 Joe Sexton, WSBA # 38063 Yakama Nation Office of Legal Counsel 9 401 Fort Road/P.O. Box 151 Toppenish, WA 98948 10 (509) 865-7268 Email: julio@yakamanation-olc.org 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Galanda Broadman PLLC COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE 11320 Roosevelt Way NE RELIEF, MANDAMUS, AND BIVENS DAMAGES - 29

P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115 (206) 691-3631