

2. The State responded to the Tribe's letter on February 14, 2011 (Exhibit H to the Tribe's Memorandum).²

3. The Sault Tribe and the State met several times by teleconference – on February 22, March 11, and March 17, 2011 – in an effort to resolve this matter informally through good faith negotiations, as required by § XIX(A)(4) of the 2000 Consent Decree.

4. The negotiations did not produce a resolution, so the parties are now proceeding with judicial resolution as provided in §§ XIX(A)(4) and XIX(C) of the 2000 Consent Decree.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 14th day of April, 2011.

By: 

Bruce R. Greene

Law Offices of Bruce R. Greene & Associates, LLC

1500 Tamarack Avenue

Boulder, Colorado 80304

Telephone: (303) 284-8654

Email: bgreene@greenelawyer.com

² Under § XIX(A)(3) of the 2000 Consent Decree, the State had ten (10) days in which to respond to the Tribe's letter. However, the Tribe agreed to the State's request for additional time to respond.