IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Plaintiff-Appellee, -vs-		
, JUVENILE MALE,		
Defendant-Appellant.		
OPENING BRIEF OF DEFENDANT-APPELLANT		
ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DIVISION		
HONORABLEUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING		
CHILD DISTRICT JODGE, I RESIDING		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	LE OF	FAUT	THORITIES iii - iv	V
I.	STA	TEME	ENT OF JURISDICTION	2
	A.		TUTORY BASIS OF SUBJECT MATTER ISDICTION OF THE DISTRICT COURT	1
	В.		TUTORY BASIS OF JURISDICTION OF THE JRT OF APPEALS	2
	C.		EALABILITY OF DISTRICT COURT ORDER D TIMELINESS OF THE APPEAL	2
II.	STA	TEME	ENT OF THE ISSUE 3	3
		REA CER PER NOT IND	THE GOVERNMENT PROVE BEYOND A ASONABLE DOUBT WITH UTMOST ATAINTY THAT IS AN "INDIAN ASON" WHERE IS BLACK AND HE HAS ATAINTY BEEN SOCIALLY RECOGNIZED AS AN AND DOES NOT PARTICIPATE IN AN SOCIAL LIFE?	3
III.	STA	TEME	ENT OF THE CASE	4
	A.	NAT	TURE OF THE CASE 3-5	5
		1.	Introduction	3
		2.	Course of the Proceedings 3-5	5
		3.	Disposition in the District Court	5
		4.	Bail Status of Defendant-Appellant	5

	В.	STATEMENT OF THE FACTS 5-	14
IV.	SUM	MARY OF ARGUMENT	15
V.	ARG	UMENT	22
		THE GOVERNMENT DID NOT PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT WITH UTMOST CERTAINTY THAT IS AN "INDIAN PERSON" BECAUSE IS BLACK AND HE HAS NOT BEEN SOCIALLY RECOGNIZED AS AN INDIAN AND DOES NOT PARTICIPATE IN INDIAN SOCIAL LIFE.	1 <i>6</i>
VI.	CON	CLUSION	23
CER	ΓΙFIC	ATE OF COMPLIANCE	24
STA	ГЕМЕ	NT OF RELATED CASES	25
CER	TIFIC	ATE OF SERVICE	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

TABLE OF CASES

<u>Davis v. United States</u> , 160 U.S. 469 (1895) 17-18, 20
<u>Francis v. Franklin</u> , 471 US 307 (1985)
<u>Holland v. United States</u> , 348 U.S. 121 (1954)
<u>In Re Winship</u> , 397 U.S. 358 (1970)
<u>Jackson v. Virginia</u> , 443 U.S. 307 (1979)
<u>Johnson v. Louisiana</u> , 406 US 356 (1972)
<u>Victor v. Nebraska</u> , 511 U.S. 1 (1994)
<u>United States v. Bruce</u> , 394 F.3d 1215 (9 th Cir. 2005) 7, 13, 16, 18, 21
<u>United States v. Cruz</u> , 554 F.3d 840 (9 th Cir. 2009) 7, 13, 18, 21
<u>United States v. Dodge</u> , 538 F.2d 770 (8 th Cir. 1976)
<u>United States v. Doe</u> , 136 F.3d 631 (9 th Cir. 1998)
<u>United States v. Fatico</u> , 458 F.Supp 388 (E.D.N.Y. 1978)
<u>United States v. Maggi</u> , 598 F.3d 1073 (9 th Cir. 2010) 7, 13, 16, 18
<u>United States v. Ramirez</u> , 537 F.3d 1075 (9 th Cir. 2008) 16
<u>United States v. Torres</u> , 733 F.2d 449 (7 th Cir. 1984)
<u>United States v. Valesquez</u> , 980 F.2d 1275 (9 th Cir. 1992)
<u>United States v. Wilson</u> , 232 US 563, 569-570 (1914)

STATUTES AND RULES

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure
Fed.R.App. 4(b)
Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
Fed.R.Crim.P. 29
Fed.R.Crim.P. 32
<u>United States Code</u>
18 U.S.C. § 661
18 U.S.C. § 1153
18 U.S.C. § 3231
18 U.S.C. § 5031 et. seq
28 U.S.C. § 1291
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
Article III, Section 2, Clause 1
OTHER SOURCES
9 TH CIR. CRIM JURY INSTR. 8.113 (2010)
Sav It Loud (I'm Black and I'm Proud), James Brown 16

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee,
-VS-
, JUVENILE MALE,
Defendant-Appellant.
OPENING BRIEF OF DEFENDANT-APPELLANT
I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION
A. STATUTORY BASIS OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OF THE DISTRICT COURT
The United States District Court for the District of has
jurisdiction over this case in accordance with Article III, Section 2, Clause 1
of the United States Constitution and 18 United States Code § 3231 because
Defendantwas charged as a juvenile Indian person with the
offense of, in violation of 18 United States Code §§ 1153,, and
5031 et. seq., within the District of

B. STATUTORY BASIS OF JURISDICTION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction over this case for the reason that

____ has appealed from the final decision of the United States District Court.

See, 28 United States Code §1291 and Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.

C. APPEALABILITY OF DISTRICT COURT ORDER AND TIMELINESS OF THE APPEAL

The District Court filed and entered its final Order, as required by Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, on _____. (Excerpts of the Record ("ER") 109-111). A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. (ER 112-114). Therefore, the appeal is timely as having been filed within ten days after the date of entry of judgment as required by Fed.R.App. 4(b).

II. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

DID THE GOVERNMENT PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT WITH UTMOST CERTAINTY THAT __ IS AN "INDIAN PERSON" WHERE __ IS BLACK AND HE HAS NOT BEEN SOCIALLY RECOGNIZED AS AN INDIAN AND DOES NOT PARTICIPATE IN INDIAN SOCIAL LIFE?

III. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Introduction

This is an appeal from a criminal conviction entered in United States
District Court was convicted as a juvenile of, and was sentenced for,
, in violation of 18 United States Code §§ 1153,, and 5031 et. seq
The charges are alleged in the Information. (ER 1-3) presents one
argument for de novo review on appeal: the Government failed to prove
beyond a reasonable doubt that, whose father is black and who has not
been socially recognized as an Indian and does not participate in Indian social
life, to be an "Indian person."
2. <u>Course of the Proceedings</u>
On, was charged as a juvenile by Information filed in
the United States District Court for the District of , Division, in

Cause No, with the offense of, in violation of 18 United
States Code §§ 1153,, and 5031 et. seq (ER 1-3). This crime is alleged
to have occurred on, at, in the State and District of, and
within the exterior boundaries of the Indian Reservation. (<u>Id</u> .).
On, appeared in Court and pleaded "Not True" (Not Guilty)
to the alleged offense. (Clerk of Court Docket Sheet; ER 119). He was
detained pending trial. (ER 118).
A bench trial commenced on (Transcript of Bench Trial Part 1
(BT1) 1-19; ER 18-40). Prior to trial, filed an Admission of Elements.
(ER 14-17). After the District Court recessed the bench trial, the parties filed
Stipulated Facts with Exhibits. (ER 41-61). The only element or issue in
contention was whether is an "Indian person." (Id.; ER 14-17).
The District Court reconvened the bench trial on (Transcript of
; ER 62-77). The District Court announced that it had determined that is
an "Indian person." (BT2 7-8; ER 69-70). Subsequently, the District Court
filed its Dispositional Statement Regarding Indian Status. (ER 78-85).
The District Court held the Dispositional Hearing on (Transcript
; ER 86-108). The District Court sentenced to serve 14 months in juvenile
detention. (DT 14-15; ER 99-100). The final Order was filed on (ER

109-111). A Notice of Appeal was filed on (ER 112-114).
3. <u>Disposition in the District Court</u>
was sentenced to serve a term of 14 months in official juvenile
detention. (ER 109-110).
4. <u>Bail Status of Defendant-Appellant</u>
is presently incarcerated at
B. STATEMENT OF THE FACTS
1. Offense Conduct. ¹
On,, then years old, and another juvenile stole a
pick up truck in The truck was found abandoned. It had been set on
fire.
The other juvenile admitted that he and had stolen the truck. The
other juvenile further admitted that he alone set the truck on fire.
More specifically, the other juvenile admitted that he and broke out
the back window of the truck, crawled inside the truck, found a spare key,
started the truck, and then drove the truck away. Both and the other
juvenile drove the truck drove the truck on and off the highway, "driving
These facts are derived from the United States' Trial Brief (ER 4-10).

through fences, before the truck stopped, due to some malfunction." The two then abandoned the truck and walked back to town.

2. Admission of Elements.

Prior to trial, ____ submitted a signed Admission of Elements. (ER 14-17). Therein, he conceded and admitted that the Government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt four of the five elements, i.e., One, that the crime occurred on the ____ Indian Reservation; Two, that the Defendant, _____, did take away the property of another; Three, that the Defendant, _____, did so with the intent to steal or purloin; and Four, that at the time the offense was committed, the Defendant, _____, was a juvenile. (ER 15).

However, ____ specifically stated "that if the Government were to present its evidence to the Court, the Government would not prove beyond a reasonable doubt that I am an Indian person as required by 18 U.S.C. § 1153(a)." (<u>Id</u>.). Thus, the only issue for trial was the "Indian person" element.

3. The Test for Determination of Indian Status in the Ninth Circuit.²
In the Ninth Circuit, "Indian Person" under 18 U.S.C, § 1153(a) means

This test is derived from <u>United States v. Bruce</u>, 394 F. 3d 1215, 1224 (9th Cir. 2005); <u>United States v. Cruz</u>, 554 F. 3d 840, 845-846, 849 n. 13 (9th Cir. 2009); and <u>United States v. Maggi</u>, 598 F. 3d 1073, 1078, 1081 (9th Cir. 2010); *see.* 9TH CIR. CRIM JURY INSTR. 8.113 (2010).

someone who:

- 1) has some ["a sufficient"] degree of Indian blood; and
- 2) has tribal or federal recognition as an Indian.

In determining whether someone has some ["a sufficient"] degree of Indian blood, evidence of a parent, grandparent, or great-grandparent who is clearly identified as an Indian is generally sufficient to satisfy this p g.

In determining whether someone has tribal or federal recognition as an Indian, evidence of the following factors must be considered, in declining order of importance:

- 1) Tribal Enrollment in a tribe recognized by the federal government;
- 2) Government recognition formally and informally through receipt of assistance reserved only to Indians;
- 3) Enjoyment of the benefits of tribal affiliation; and
- 4) Social recognition as an Indian through residence on a reservation and participation in Indian social life.
- 4. The District Court Requests Submission of Stipulated Facts.

At the commencement of the bench trial, Judge ____ invited the parties to submit the case to the Court "on a stipulated set of facts." (BT1 6; ER 23). The judge observed that "the state of the law is uncertain as to how the several elements that are set forth in the Bruce, Maggi, and Cruz cases are to be

weighed one against the other; certainly how – as to how they are to be weighed if there is any conflict in the facts relating to such components of the process." (BT1 9; ER 26). Judge ____'s "core concern" was "that given the uncertain state of the law, notwithstanding three decisions from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on this particular question, I want the record complete so that when I make a decision, I can be as definitive as possible in doing so." (BT1 11; ER 28).

Based on this request by the District Court, the parties agreed to try to stipulate to as many facts as possible. (BT1 11, 13, 14; ER 28, 30, 31). Judge ____ then further observed, "...if there is an issue that continues to be vexing and perplexing to this court, it is the issue that we are discussing here today. And I, for one, will welcome any clarification that the Ninth Circuit chooses to give any of us on how to resolve that question better." (BT1 15; ER 32)

5. Evidence of	's Indian Status.'	
was born in	in California. He was	_ years old at the time
of the alleged offense	has never met his parents.	His mother is Native
American and his father is A	African-American.	

3

These facts are derived from the Stipulated Facts (ER 41-61).

was raised in foster homes and in group homes in,
California. His foster parents were Black and Mexican grew up in a
predominately Black neighborhood and attended predominantly Black public
schools.
Although he has 1/4 total Native American blood and is an enrolled
member of the Indian Tribe (a federally recognized tribe), has
never held himself out as an Indian person. He does not consider himself to be
an Indian person grew up as, and considers himself to be, an African-
American.
When was years old, he sought refuge by leaving California to
live with his maternal grandmother on the Indian Reservation in He
left California to get away from a bad living situation.
has no interest in Indian culture and traditions. He has never worn
traditional Indian clothing does not participate in the Indian social,
cultural, and religious activities that are available on the Reservation the
Reservation. These include pow-wows, ⁴ sweats, ⁵ smudging, ⁶ sun dances, ⁷
4
An American Indian social gathering or fair usually including competitive traditional dancing.
A sweat lodge is an American Indian tradition where individuals enter a dome-

and round dances.8

Because cannot afford to pay for his own medical and hospital care,
he has sought and obtained medical care at the Indian Health Service (IHS)
facility in has personally received a total of four per capita
payments ⁹ totaling \$400.00 from the Tribe. Until recently, was not

shaped dwelling to experience a sauna-like envi___ment. The lodge itself is typically a wooden-framed structure made from tree branches. Hot rocks are placed inside an earthen-dug pit located in the center of this man-made enclosure. Water is periodically poured over the heated rocks to create a hot and steamy room. The sweat ceremony is intended as a spiritual reunion with the creator and a respectful connection to the earth itself as much as it is meant for purging toxins out of the physical body.

6

According to American Indian tradition, before a person can be healed or heal another, he or she must be cleansed of any bad feelings, negative thoughts, bad spirits or negative energy - cleansed both physically and spiritually. To accomplish this, one common ceremony is to burn certain herbs, take the smoke in one's hands and rub or brush it over the body. This is called "smudging. The three plants most frequently used in smudging are sage, cedar, and sweetgrass.

7

The sun dance is an American Indian ceremony which includes dancing, singing and drumming, the experience of visions, fasting, and, in some cases, self-mutilation.

8

The American Indian round dance is a friendship dance that has long been held as a courting activity. It is performed during a portion of a powwow and during many social occasions.

9

Per-capita payments are payments that are made according to the number of individuals in a tribe and in which each individual tribal member shares

aware that per-capita checks had been sent on his behalf to his foster parents when he was living in California.

Other than per capita checks, has not enjoyed the benefits of tribal
affiliation. He has never received any type of financial assistance from the
tribe is not entitled to any settlement money from the <u>Cobell</u> lawsuit. 10 He
did carry a tribal identification card.
Since living in, has been arrested and charged with several
offenses, both as a juvenile and as an adult, in the Tribal Court. However,
he has been treated as a Black person in Juvenile Detention and in the
Tribal Jail (adult).
has not been socially recognized or accepted as an Indian. He is
considered as, and treated as, Black by tribal members is called a "nigger"
by tribal members who do not know him. Even his Indian "friends" call him
equally.

<u>Cobell v. Salazar</u> (On December 21, 2010, The United States District Court for the District of Columbia in Cause No. 1:96CV01285-JR granted preliminary approval to a settlement. On December 8, 2010, President Obama signed legislation approving the settlement and authorizing \$3.4 billion in funds. \$1.5 billion will be provided to compensate an estimated 500,000 individual Indian trust beneficiaries who have or had Individual Indian Money (IIM) accounts or hold an interest in trust or restricted land. The settlement resolves claims that the federal government violated its trust duties to these beneficiaries).

"Nigga ."

On one occasion when ____ was invited by his grandmother to watch her dance in a pow-wow, he was called "nigger" and threatened with bodily harm by a large Indian youth gang. He quit his job with because one of the employees called him "nigger" and the manager refused to do anything about it. Indian jail inmates (both juvenile and adult) have repeatedly called a "nigger" and have started fights with . For his own protection, the jailors have had to segregate from the other inmates. has been warned several times not to go to , in the heart of the Indian Reservation because he is not welcome and most likely will be assaulted or killed. The Indians in do not want a "nigger" in their town. 6. The District Court Finds to be an "Indian Person". Following the filing of the parties' written Stipulated Facts (ER 41-61), Judge reconvened the bench trial. (BT2 1-19; ER 62-77). The judge observed that "both sides are in agreement that this matter be submitted to the Court for a determination on the merits on the basis of the statements that are contained in the Admission of Elements...and the statement of stipulated facts." (BT2 5; ER 67).

a-reasonable-doubt standard that this Defendant is an Indian Person, and that with that finding all elements of the juvenile offense are established and are established to the legal standard required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt." (BT2 7-8; ER 69-70). "[F]rom the record, I conclude and adjudicate this Defendant is a juvenile delinquent for having committed the offense as charged in the juvenile information in the file. (BT2 9; ER 71).

Judge ____ noted that "determination of Indian Status is a political issue as defined in federal jurisprudence. It is not an issue of race." (BT2 8; ER 70). The judge then declared that the "two-prong test laid down in the Bruce case...are to be satisfied." (Id.). Judge ____ also recognized the four factors which must be considered and cited the three principal cases, Bruce, Cruz, and Maggi. (BT2 8-9; ER 70-71).

"This continues to be, in the mind of this Court, a thorny issue." (BT2 9; ER 70-71). The judge announced his intention to file a "dispositional statement", i.e., a "summary analysis of the facts" and "the application of those facts to the test that this Court is to apply in making this determination," "...because I would have every expectation that this matter receive appellate review." (BT2 8, 9; ER 70, 71).

Judge ____ requested counsel to take this case to the court of appeals to

"bring this issue to a definitive resolution." (BT2 10-11; ER 72-73). "I offer the observation that it is not in the interest of the administration of justice to be deciding the status of individuals – as to whether they are not Indians, within the meaning of law – on a case-by-case, one-at-a-time basis...I simply offer that observation that there has to be a better way than doing it on that basis." (BT2 11; ER 73).

7. <u>Dispositional Statement Regarding Indian Status</u>.

One week after announcing his decision in court, Judge ____ filed his Dispositional Statement Regarding Indian Status. (ER 78-85). Therein, the judge sets forth the facts and law in support of his conclusion that "the undisputed evidence in this case shows that [___] was an Indian person under 18 U.S.C. § 1153 at the time of the offense. This statutory element of the case is satisfied." (ER 84-85).

IV. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

"We have been bucked and we have been scorned. We have been treated bad, talked about as just bones."

James Brown
Say It Loud (I'm Black and I'm Proud)

Suy It Loud (1 m Bidek dha 1 m 1 roud)
, whose father is a Black and whose mother is Native American, had
no choice as to whether to be a Black person or an "Indian person." He was
raised as a Black person in, California. Although he is a member
of the Indian Tribe, tribal members call "nigger" and treat him as an
outsider. Except for free Indian Health Service benefits and periodic per-capita
payments, enjoys no other benefits of tribal affiliation.
When sought employment, the Indians called him "nigger." When
he went to a pow-wow, they called him "nigger" and threatened him. When
was put in jail, the Indians called him "nigger" and assaulted him.
considers himself Black and has never held himself out to be an "Indian
person." He does not participate in Indian social, cultural, or religious
activities, nor does he want to. The Government did not prove beyond a
reasonable doubt with sufficient certainty that is an "Indian person."

V. ARGUMENT

THE GOVERNMENT DID NOT PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT WITH UTMOST CERTAINTY THAT ___ IS AN "INDIAN PERSON" BECAUSE ___ IS BLACK AND HE HAS NOT BEEN SOCIALLY RECOGNIZED AS AN INDIAN AND DOES NOT PARTICIPATE IN INDIAN SOCIAL LIFE.

Standard of Review

This Court reviews *de novo* the district court's determination of Indian status under 18 U.S.C. § 1152 and § 1153 "because it is a mixed question of law and fact." <u>United States v. Ramirez</u>, 537 F.3d 1075, 1081 (9th Cir. 2008) (quoting <u>United States v. Bruce</u>, 394 F.3d 1215, 1218 (9th Cir. 2005)). "Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the government, [this Court] must determine whether any rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt." <u>Id.</u>; *see also*, <u>United States v. Maggi</u>, 598 F.3d 1073, 1080 (9th Cir. 2010). The same test applies to both jury and bench trials. <u>United States v. Doe</u>, 136 F.3d 631, 636 (9th Cir. 1998).

Reviewability

____ contested the Indian Status element of the charge against him. ___ specifically stated "that if the Government were to present its evidence to the Court, the Government would not prove beyond a reasonable doubt that I am an Indian person as required by 18 U.S.C. § 1153(a)." (ER 15). No further objection is required.

Argument

____ is not an "Indian person." Like his father, he is Black. ____ was raised as a Black and attended Black schools. He grew up in a Black neighborhood. ____ has never held himself out as an Indian person. He does not

consider himself to be an Indian person grew up as, and considers himself
to be, Black.
tribal members treated as a Black. Not only did the Indians
consider to be non-Indian, they discriminated against by taunting him,
threatening him, assaulting him, and even calling him "nigger." Why would
want to participate in the Indian social, cultural, and religious activities?
The one time he tried to watch his grandmother dance at a pow-wow, he was
assaulted.
cannot be adjudged guilty of a criminal offense unless "a proper
factfinder [is convinced] of his guilt with utmost certainty." In re Winship,
397 U.S. 358, 364 (1970) (Emphasis added). Judge is so confused and
"uncertain" with the state of the law that he decreed the "Indian person"
element to be "vexing," "perplexing," and "thorny." The Government failed to
prove, and Judge did not find, beyond a reasonable doubt with utmost
certainty that is an "Indian person."
"No man should be denrived of his life under the forms of law unless—the

"No man should be deprived of his life under the forms of law unless...the evidence ...is sufficient to show beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of every fact necessary to constitute the crime charged." <u>Davis v. United States</u>, 160 U.S. 469, 488 (1895), quoted with approval in <u>Winship</u>, <u>Id</u>. at 363. "Lest

there remain any doubt about the constitutional stature of the reasonable-doubt standard, we explicitly hold that the Due Process Clause protects the accused against conviction except upon proof beyond a reasonable doubt of every fact necessary to constitute the crime with which he is charged." Winship, Id. at 364. "[W]here a [juvenile] is charged with an act of stealing which renders him liable to confinement ...then, as a matter of due process...the case against him must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt." Winship, Id. at 368.

A "defendant's Indian status is an essential element of a § 1153 [Major Crimes Act] offense which the government must allege in the indictment and prove beyond a reasonable doubt." <u>United States v. Bruce</u>, 394 F.3d 1215, 1229 (9th Cir. 2005); <u>United States v. Cruz</u>, 554 F.3d 840, 845 (9th Cir. 2009); <u>United States v. Maggi</u>, 598 F. 3d 1073, 1077 (9th Cir. 2010). Quite simply, 's Indian status was not proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

As to the first prong of the Indian status test, the Government did prove beyond a reasonable doubt that ____ has a "sufficient 'degree of Indian blood" because he is 1/4 _____, Cruz, Id. (quoting Bruce 394 F.3d at 1223). As to the second prong of the Indian status test, the Government easily proved two of the four tribal or federal recognition as Indian factors, i.e., tribal enrollment and government recognition formally or informally through receipt of assistance

reserved only to Indians. Arguably, the Government proved, in part, the third factor, i.e., enjoyment of the benefits of tribal affiliation although tribal members' inimical treatment of ___ calls to question whether ___ actually "enjoyed" any "benefits."

The Government completely failed to prove the fourth factor, i.e., that ____ has been socially recognized as an Indian through residence on a reservation or that ____ has participated in Indian social life. Judge ____ specifically found that ___ "has not voted in tribal elections. He has not participated in any Indian social, cultural or religious activities on the ____ Indian Reservation. A number of tribal members consider [___] to be Afro-American, rather than Indian." (ER 83). These facts alone raise a reasonable doubt as to whether ___ is an "Indian person."

If a reasonable doubt is a "doubt based upon reason and common sense," the undisputed fact that ____ has not been socially recognized as an Indian and has not participated in Indian social life raises a reasonable doubt. Francis v. Franklin, 471 US 307, 334 (1985). If proof beyond a reasonable doubt must be "proof of such a convincing character" that a reasonable person "would not hesitate to rely and act upon it," the undisputed fact that ____ has not been socially recognized as an Indian and has not participated in Indian social life

raises a reasonable doubt. <u>Victor v. Nebraska</u>, 511 U.S. 1, 20 (1994); <u>Holland v. United States</u>, 348 U.S. 121, 140 (1954).

If a reasonable doubt is a doubt "based on reason which arises from the evidence or lack of evidence," the lack of evidence that ____ has been socially recognized as an Indian or that he has participated in Indian social life raises a reasonable doubt. Johnson v. Louisiana, 406 US 356, 360 (1972). Because there is reasonable doubt, Judge ____ had the duty as the fact finder to reach a verdict of not guilty. Davis, 160 U.S. at 471-472 (Where the evidence raised a reasonable doubt, the defendant is entitled to an acquittal).

"[R]easonable doubt is that frame of mind which forbids you to say, all the evidence considered and weighed, 'I have an abiding conviction of the defendants' guilt;' or, as it has been expressed, 'I am convinced of the defendants' guilt to a moral certainty." <u>United States v. Wilson</u>, 232 US 563, 569-570 (1914). If proof beyond a reasonable doubt must be convincing proof to a moral certainty, the undisputed fact that ____ has not been socially recognized as an Indian and has not participated in Indian social life raises a reasonable doubt.

At best, the Government has proven three of the four tribal or federal recognition as Indian factors. Three out of four, or 75%, is not proof beyond

a reasonable doubt. <u>United States v. Fatico</u>, 458 F.Supp 388, 409-410 (E.D.N.Y. 1978). "Blackstone would have put the probability standard for proof "beyond a reasonable doubt" at somewhat more than 90%, for he declared: "It is better that ten guilty persons escape than one innocent suffer." W. Blackstone, The Law of England, Book the Fourth, Chapter 27, p. 358 (T. Wait and Co., Portland 1807)." <u>Id</u>. at 411.

No court has determined a criminal defendant to be an "Indian person" unless the individual actually held himself or herself out to be an Indian. *See*, Bruce, 394 F.3d at 1226 n.7; United States v. Torres, 733 F.2d 449, 456 (7th Cir. 1984); United States v. Dodge, 538 F.2d 770, 787 (8th Cir. 1976) ("We find that both Williams and Alvarado are of Indian blood and have held themselves out to be Indians. We, therefore, affirm the decision of the trial court in determining that they are Indians within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. 1153."). ____ has never held himself out as an Indian. ____ does not want to be Indian. ____ is not an Indian and he is not an "Indian person."

Clearly, "the extent to which an individual considers himself an Indian...is most certainly relevant to determining Indian status." <u>Cruz</u>, 554 F.3d 849-850. ____ is not an "Indian person" because he does not consider himself to be an Indian.

In sum, the Supreme Court has directed that a judge or jury must reach a state of near certainty concerning the guilt of the defendant prior to convicting. Victor, 511 U.S. at 15; Jackson v. Virginia, 443 U.S. 307, 315 (1979) ("a subjective state of near certitude."). The judge or jury must be "firmly convinced" of the defendant's guilt. United States v. Valesquez, 980 F.2d 1275, 1278 (9th Cir. 1992). The evidence here of ____'s Indian status does not reach the required level of near certitude or firm conviction.

VI. CONCLUSION

The trier of fact, Judge, was "uncertain" and "perplexed" with
the "thorny" issue of's Indian status. The judge completely ignored the fact
that has never held himself out to be an Indian. Because has been
socially recognized as Black and does not participate in Indian social life, the
Government failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt, either with utmost
certainty or to a moral certainty, that is an "Indian person." Thus, this
Court must enter a judgment of acquittal and order that be immediately
released from custody.
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of
By
Counsel for Defendant-Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this Opening Brief is in compliance with Ninth Circuit Rule 32. The Brief's line spacing is double spaced. The brief is proportionately spaced, the body of the argument has a Times New Roman typeface, 14 point size and contains less than 14,000 words at an average of 194 words (or less) per page, including footnotes and quotations. (Total number of words: 5,082, excluding tables and certificates).

DATED this day	of
----------------	----

By

Counsel for Defendant-Appellant

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

The undersigned, counsel of record for the Defendant-Appellant,,
certifies, pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 28-2.6, that there are no related cases
pending in this Court known to this Appellant to the best of counsel's
knowledge, information and belief.
DATED this day of
By
Counsel for Defendant-Appellant

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u> When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the <u>Appellate CM/ECF System</u>

I hereby certify that on, I electronically filed the foregoing with the
Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
by using the appellate CM/ECF system.
Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served
by the appellate CM/ECF system.
I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered
CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail,
postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for
delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Counsel for Defendant-Appellant