Page 1

COURT OF INDIAN OFFENSES

FOR THE COMANCHE NATION TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA

ANADARKO, OKLAHOMA

IN RE:

RECOGNITION OF COMANCHE

NATION BUSINESS COMMITTEE,

TRIBAL ADMINISTRATOR,

COMANCHE NATION,

Petitioner.

)

Comanche Nation,

Petitioner.

* * * * * *

EXCERPT OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

HAD JUNE 22, 2012

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MAG. JUDGE LUJAN

ANADARKO, OKLAHOMA

* * * * *

REPORTED BY:

Jenessa K. Kalsu, CSR
Word for Word Reporting, L.L.C.
100 North Broadway, Suite 3250
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
(405)232-9673

| | Page 2 |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
| 2 | |
| 3 | MR. WALTER ECHO-HAWK, Attorney at Law, 500 Kennedy Building, 321 S. Boston Ave., Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | MR. JIMMY GOODMAN, Attorney at Law, 20 N. Broadway, Suite 1800, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102. |
| 6 7 | MR. JAMES BURSON, Attorney at Law, 101 Park Avenue, Suite 700, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102. |
| 8 | MR. WILLIAM NORMAN, Attorney at Law, 101 Park Avenue, Suite 700, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 |
| 9 | |
| LO | |
| L1 | |
| L2 | |
| L3 | |
| L 4 | |
| L5 | |
| L6 | |
| L7 L8 | |
| L 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 3

(On June 22, 2012, proceedings were had before the Honorable Mag. Judge Philip Lujan, and the Order is as follows:)

* * * * * * *

THE COURT: All right. I don't need to hear anything more. All right.

Now, where we're at -- there is some history to this 25CFR11.118. And the Court actually doesn't have to go to the documents that have been presented, it has its own limitations on its jurisdiction as prescribed, because this is a court of limited jurisdiction. And this 25CFR11.118 is that limitation.

I don't know if I should be proud of that 25CFR11.118 because that is sometimes known as the Lujan Provision. When I first started 25-30 years ago, I had the audacity to say that tribes should follow their governing documents. And I will tell you that that was very upsetting. And I was a very brash person at that time.

I hope that experience and age have tempered me and I hope that I've gained some wisdom since then. But there's two things that are part of status -- and Indians, we're always concerned about status. The first one is "You're nobody until you've been sued in federal court." That's one

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 4

status and I've been sued in federal court.

The second one, and this is even more important because this is the Indian world, and not many white guys can say this, "You're nobody until they spread the blanket at the powwow to raise money to fly to D.C. to complain to get you unhorsed.

That's the one I'm most proud of, because they have spread the blanket to raise money to fly to D.C. to get rid of me. Because of the audacity that tribes should follow their governing documents.

Now, this 118 is the result of a compromise. I'm still here, but they took away my stick.

Here is the other compromise, and I'll just tell you -- and I'll put that on the record over there for the secretary to hear it -- the other compromise is when you don't have this court authorized to hear election disputes and internal disputes the decision remains with guess who? The BIA.

So tribes now have to make a choice: You want to live like it was in the 1950s, or do you want to authorize this Court to have things done publicly, to have the law enforced.

I will tell you this -- and I don't want

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 5

to build the drama here. It's very straight forward: In these documents and laws that have been passed by the tribe, one of the canons -- you've heard some canons of construction mentioned here, one of the important canons of construction is the specific controls over the general.

The Comanche -- whereas the Comanche
Business Committee intends that nothing in this
resolution shall be deemed to supercede or conflict
with the provisions of 25CFR11.118, and it says it
very strongly at once again in Internal Tribal
Government Disputes.

Now, this -- this is a question that has gone up -- also some background -- this has gone up to the Appellate Court, because I have argued and I have written and made decisions consistent with the principle that when a -- when a tribal official violates a tribal constitution, that's not a dispute, it's either lawful or it's unlawful.

The Appellate Court, I'll just tell you, to use slang, has slam dunked me three times on that. They do not agree with me.

So this is where -- and remember I told you earlier, I have made decisions that are distasteful for me. It's distasteful if, in fact --

2.4

Page 6

which we don't know -- if, in fact, the Comanche
Constitution has been violated, and that violation
can continue with impunity, it's a sad day for the
Comanche people. And until -- this is going to take
a political decision. If enough Comanche people are
outraged by that sufficiently to give the proper
authorizing legislation by the Tribal Counsel to
this Court, that will continue until that is
accomplished.

I can be outraged. It's diametrically opposed to everything that I've lived for, philosophically, and what I've done for 30 years, for tribal officials to violate their own governing documents. I'm not saying -- you see, I can't say that that's what's happened here, because that's not before the Court. I'm just saying the idea of that.

But each. This -- this law that's come down -- not law, this CFR regulation that limits this Court's jurisdiction has said each individual tribe must do that specifically in order to bring it into the Court. And until it does that, I'm (Indicating.) I'm like this. No matter what outrage or whatever I may think or whatever I see, see I'm like this. (Indicating.)

So I'm going to grant the motion they've

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 7

carried today here, because there is no authorization. The obligation was on -- was on this party to show me affirmatively where I have been given the authority. And all of the things and events -- those of you who know more about what happened than me, you may be -- you may be outraged about this. But the solution is not for me to violate the law in order to enforce the law. That can never be done. That's part of -- that's part of an oath that you take. And that's why police -police are dangerous when they violate the law to enforce the law. Judges are dangerous when they violate the law to enforce the law. It's not sufficient to say, "I'm doing good." It is not sufficient in a lawful society to say, "I'm going to do this for good. Forget the law, I know what's good."

Well, that's a temptation for people who have authority and power. But I want you to know I am resisting that temptation. And I'm not able to reach the facts, the merits, whatever the circumstances were, I'm unable, until I'm handed — like I always say for — we're all Plains Indians here — until the Comanche people hand me the pipe. You hand me the pipe and I promise you I'll hold

Page 8 1 that and I will do whatever is necessary. But I 2 don't have the pipe. 3 That would be the Order of the Court. 4 I would like for -- Counsel, if you would 5 prepare the appropriate order. 6 MR. GOODMAN: We would, Your Honor. will submit it to the other side for review and then submit it to Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 10 gentlemen. 11 And that means that -- what that means for 12 everybody, for the lay people here, my Order is 13 withdrawn automatically because this Court has no 14 jurisdiction in this matter. That's what I found 15 today. 16 (Applause.) 17 THE COURT: And you're excused. 18 No demonstrations, please. Go ahead and 19 leave in an orderly manner. 2.0 MR. GOODMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Are 21 we adjourned? 22 THE COURT: You're adjourned. 23 (Proceeding adjourned.) 2.4 25

```
Page 9
 1
                     CERTIFICATE
 2
       STATE OF OKLAHOMA
                                SS:
 3
       COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA
 4
 5
                I, JENESSA K. KALSU, a certified shorthand
 6
      reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma,
 7
      certify that the above proceeding was taken by me in
      stenotype and thereafter transcribed by computer and
 8
 9
      is a true and correct transcript of the excerpt of
10
      the proceeding; that the proceeding was taken on the
11
      22nd day of June, 2012, in Anadarko, Oklahoma; that
12
      I am not an attorney for or a relative of any party,
      or otherwise interested in this action.
13
14
                Witness my hand and seal of office on this
15
      the 22nd day of June, 2012.
16
17
                             JENESSA K. KALSU, CSR
18
                             Oklahoma CSR No. 01654
                             Expiration Date December 31, 2012
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```