l			
1	Pamela M. Overton (AZ Bar No. 009062)		
2	Tracy L. Weiss (AZ Bar No. 027289) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP		
3	2375 E. Camelback Rd., Ste. 700		
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Telephone: (602) 445-8000		
5	Facsimile: (602) 445-8100 E-mail: OvertonP@gtlaw.com; WeissT@gtla	w.com	
6	Mark Tratos (NV Bar No. 1086) (Pro Hac Vic	ce applied for)	
7	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 400 Nort		
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	.11	
9	Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002		
10	Email: TratosM@gtlaw.com		
	Troy A. Eid (CO Bar No. 21164) (Pro Hac Vi GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	ce Applied for)	
11	1200 17th Street, Suite 2400 Denver, CO 80202		
12	Telephone: (303) 572-6500		
13	Email: EidT@gtlaw.com, thompsonro@gtlaw.com Attorneys for Grand Canyon Skywalk Development, LLC.		
14			
15			
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
18	GRAND CANYON SKYWALK	NO.	
19	DEVELOPMENT,LLC,	A PROVINCE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
20	Petitioner,	APPLICATION FOR CONFIRMATION OF	
21	v.	ARBITRATION AWARD	
22	'SA' NYU WA, Inc.,		
23	Respondent.		
24	p 0		
25			
26			

LV 419847188v1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Pursuant to 9 U.S.C. §§ 9 and 13 of the Federal Arbitration Act ("FAA"), Petitioner Grand Canyon Skywalk Development, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("GCSD"), respectfully requests this Court confirm the August 16, 2012 arbitration award rendered in its favor in Case Number 76 517 Y 00191 11 S1M, decided before the American Arbitration Association (the "Final Award"), and enter judgment against Defendant Sa Nyu Wa, Inc. a Hualapai tribally chartered corporation ("SNW"), in accordance with the Final Award. This Petition is supported by the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and is made on the following grounds:

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. At all times mentioned herein, GCSD was, and is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada. No member of GCSD is a citizen or resident of Arizona, a member of the Hualapai Tribe or a resident on the Hualapai reservation.
- SNW is a Hualapai tribally chartered corporation with its principal place of 2. business within Arizona.
- 3. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a), as the parties are diverse in citizenship and the amount at issue exceeds \$75,000.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court because this is a judicial district specified in the underlying contract and the judicial district in which the arbitration award sought to be confirmed was made. See 9 U.S.C. §9; December 31, 2003 Development and Management Agreement, (the "2003 Agreement"), attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** at § 15.4(a).

(602) 445-8000

ARBITRATION AGREEMENT, PROCEEDINGS AND AWARD

- 5. GCSD and SNW entered into an agreement, wherein GCSD would build and operate a glass bridge and related facilities on the Hualapai Indian Reservation in Arizona in exchange for long-term management rights and fees. *See generally*, the 2003 Agreement, **Exhibit 1**.
- 6. Integral to the 2003 Agreement was a provision providing, "[a]ny controversy, claim, or dispute arising out of or related to this Agreement shall be resolved through binding arbitration. . . . Either party may request and thus initiate arbitration of the dispute by written notice ("Arbitration Notice") to the other party. . . . The arbitration shall be conducted in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association, then in effect Judgment upon the award (as limited by Section 15.4(d)) rendered by the arbitrator may be enforced through appropriate judicial proceedings in any federal court having jurisdiction." *See* Exhibit 1, 2003 Agreement § 15.4.
- 7. Controversies arose over management fees due under the 2003 Agreement and SNW's failure to account for ticket sales proceeds or to provide required infrastructure to support the Skywalk and allow completion of the Visitor's Center. *See* December 21, 2011 Amended Arbitration Complaint (the "Arbitration Complaint") attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.
- 8. After demanding arbitration, GCSD filed an action in Hualapai Tribal Court to compel arbitration. That tribal court presided over by Judge Wilbur ruled that SNW had expressly waived its sovereign immunity for the limited purpose of mandatory arbitration and that GCSD had exhausted all tribal court remedies. *See* August 2, 2011 Tribal Court order attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**, pg. 2 at ¶ 7 and pg 3 at ¶ 2.
- 9. Thereafter, GCSD filed an Arbitration Notice with the American Arbitration Association (the "AAA") and delivered a copy of the notice to SNW in accordance with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the 2003 Agreement § 15.4(a), **Exhibit 1**, which states in relevant part that '[e]ither party may request and thus initiate arbitration of the dispute by written notice ("Arbitration Notice") to the other party", thereby opening case 76 517 Y 00191 11 S1M before the AAA.

- 10. The AAA, after receiving input from both GCSD and SNW, appointed arbitrator Shawn K. Aiken (the "Arbitrator") for the dispute. See Notice of Appointment of Arbitrator, **Exhibit 4**. No objections were voiced by SNW regarding the appointment of Mr. Aiken.
- SNW initially contested jurisdiction of the Arbitrator and sought to have 11. GCSD's arbitration complaint dismissed, but pursuant to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the AAA, which were specified in § 15.4(a) of the 2003 Agreement, Exhibit 1, the Arbitrator confirmed jurisdiction over the case, ruling that SNW had waived sovereign immunity and that there was no requirement under the 2003 Agreement to first obtain a court order to compel arbitration. See November 21, 2011 Order Re Respondent's Motion to Dismiss GCSD's Arbitration Complaint of Arbitrator Aiken, attached hereto as Exhibit 5.
- 12. SNW participated in the arbitration paying arbitration fees, filing its answer and counterclaims, participating in Tribunal hearings, producing discovery and subpoening witnesses and documents. See Answer to GCSD's Arbitration Complaint and SNW's Notice of intent to Assert Counterclaims; Subpoena to David J. Emry, January 19, 2012 letter from Gallagher and Kennedy to Pam Overton, attached hereto as **Exhibits 6, 7 and 8** respectively, and August 16, 2012 AAA Final Award at 3, attached hereto as Exhibit 9.
- 13. A fatal turning point was reached, however, when the Arbitrator ordered SNW to produce its Point of Sale information showing the number and pricing of tickets sold by SNW and its affiliates by February 10, 2012. See February 7, 2012 Report of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Preliminary Hearing and Scheduling Order, (no. 6), attached hereto as **Exhibit 10** at ¶ 1. This Point of Sale information would have detailed the amount of funds received but not turned over to GCSD by SNW and its affiliates.

- 14. SNW promised to work in good faith and turn over the Point of Sale information on the required date, but instead the Tribe, behind closed doors, passed a declaration of taking by eminent domain of GCSD management contract. See Declaration of Taking, Exhibit 11.
- 15. On February 9, 2012, rather than produce the Point of Sale information, the Hualapai Tribe also seized physical possession of the Skywalk through the attempted use of eminent domain, and filed an action in Hualapai Tribal Court (the "Tribal Takings Action"). SNW and the Tribe then attempted to unilaterally dismiss the arbitration case once again.
- 16. After expedited briefing was considered, the Arbitrator denied the attempt to dismiss the arbitration, on the basis that the Tribe was not a party to the 2003 Agreement, and the arbitration proceeded to a final hearing. See Exhibit 9, Final Order, at 10.
- 17. SNW and the Hualapai Tribe also sought to enjoin the arbitration in the Tribal Takings Action before the Hualapai Tribal Court. However, a second tribal court presided over by Judge King, also ultimately refused to enjoin the arbitration and on July 15, 2012 ordered that the parties could proceed in arbitration. See August 3, 2012 Hualapai Tribal Court Order, attached hereto as **Exhibit 12**.
- 18. A properly noticed arbitration hearing was held July 16-20, 2012 in Phoenix, Arizona. See Exhibit 9, Final Award at pg. 4. SNW failed to appear for the hearing, and GCSD proceeded, presenting documentary and testimonial evidence. *Id*.
- 19. After considering the testimony of more than a dozen witnesses including Tribal members and elected Tribal officials and former members of the Board of SNW, the exhibits admitted into evidence, and the parties' briefs and arguments, the Arbitrator

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

issued an Award on August 16, 2012, finding in favor of GCSD and against SNW on substantially all claims in GCSD's arbitration complaint, including its request for attorney's fees under the 2003 Agreement. See generally, **Exhibit 9**.

- The Arbitrator also ordered that SNW "shall bear the costs of the 20. arbitration." Final Award, Exhibit 9, at 46.
- 21. A copy of the Final Award was served on both parties by the AAA on August 17, 2012. See Notice of Final Award, Exhibit 13. Pursuant to the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the AAA, SNW had 20 days after issuance of the Final Award to request modification of the award. AAA Commercial Arbitration Rule R-46. SNW did not request such a modification. As a result, the award became final on September 6, Thus, this Petition is filed timely within one year after the award was made pursuant to Section 9 of the FAA.
- 22. To date, SNW has filed no action or request to modify, correct, or vacate the Final Award.

LEGAL AUTHORITY

According to the Federal Arbitration Act, any party to an arbitration may, "at any time within one year after the award is made," "apply to the court ... for an order confirming the award." 9 U.S.C. § 9. "A confirmation proceeding under 9 U.S.C. § 9 is intended to be summary; confirmation can only be denied if an award has been corrected, vacated, or modified in accordance with the Federal Arbitration Act." Taylor v. Nelson, 788 F.2d 220, 225 (4th Cir. 1986); see also Hall Street Assocs., L.L.C. v. Mattel, Inc., 552 U.S. 576, 582, 128 S.Ct. 1396, 1402 (2008) ("An application for any of these orders will get streamlined treatment as a motion, obviating the separate contract action that would usually be necessary to enforce or tinker with an arbitral award in court. § 6. Under the terms of § 9, a court 'must' confirm an arbitration award 'unless' it is vacated, modified, or corrected 'as prescribed' in §§ 10 and 11.") (footnote omitted). ("[T]he Court's

(602) 445-8000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

function in confirming or vacating an arbitration award is severely limited. If it were otherwise, the ostensible purpose for resort to arbitration, i.e., avoidance of litigation, would be frustrated." Amicizia Societa Navegazione v. Chilean Nitrate & Iodine Sales Corp., 274 F.2d 805, 808 (2d Cir. 1960). The grounds for vacatur and modification provided by 9 U.S.C. §§ 10 and 11 are exclusive under the FAA, and even manifest legal error does not constitute sufficient cause to disturb an arbitration award. Hall Street Assocs. 552 U.S. 576,583, 128 S.Ct. 1396, 140.

GCSD's The Arbitrator rendered the Final Award on August 16, 2012. Application for an order confirming the Final Award is therefore timely. Furthermore, the arbitration Award has not been vacated, modified, or corrected, nor has any party to the arbitration filed or served a petition to vacate, modify, or correct the award pursuant to Sections 10 or 11 of the FAA to date.

13 /// 14 /// 15 /// 16 /// 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26

	1	W
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Se B. Ar C. D. pro
	3	Se
	4	В.
	5	Ar
	6	C.
	7	D.
	8	pro
	9	RE
700	10	
IG SUITE 316	11	
GREENBERG TRAURIG 2375 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 700 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016 (602) 445-8000	12	
LAW OFFICES EENBERG TRAU AMELBACK ROA ENIX, ARIZONA (602) 445-8000	13	
LAW SENBE SAMELI (602)	14	
GRE EAST C PHO	15	
2375]	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	

WHEREFORE, GCSD prays that:

- A. This Court enter an order confirming the Final Award, as authorized by Section 9 of the FAA;
- B. This Court enter a Judgment that conforms the Final Award of the Arbitrator;
- C. GCSD be awarded its costs and disbursement in this proceeding; and
- D. GCSD be awarded any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of September, 2012.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Pamela M. Overton

Pamela M. Overton Tracy L. Weiss 2375 East Camelback Road, Suite 700 Phoenix, AZ 85016

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

Mark Tratos 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400N Las Vegas, NV 89169

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

Troy A. Eid Robert S. Thompson IV 1200 17th Street, Suite 2400 Denver, CO 80202

Attorneys for Plaintiff

LAW OFFICES

GREENBERG TRAURIG
2375 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 700
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016
(602) 445-8000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September <u>11th</u>, 2012, I sent the foregoing application for confirmation of arbitration award to be personally served on the following:

Glen Hallman
Paul K. Charlton
Jeffrey D. Gross
Christopher W. Thompson
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
2575 E. Camelback Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225

/s/ Christine A. Valenzuela

Employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP