JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Richard Knight				DEFENDANTS Darren Vondal					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Clay County, MN (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Rolette (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Reed A. Soderstrom, PO Box 1000, Minot, ND 58702-1000, 701-852-0381				Attorneys (If Known) Craig M. Richie, PO Box 2172, Fargo, ND 58107 701-235-5513					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			25
D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		P	FF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF D 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		ten of Another State			0 5		
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	l rc	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	7	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations	422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTYRIGHTS rights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) (Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury G 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION		10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation	BEFNEDAL TAX SHITE		□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty	3 0 /9	Imployee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) Agence ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party ☐ 950 Const		eview or Appeal of by Decision		
	Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	Other:		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions					
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reor	(specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. section Brief description of ca	<u>is 1651, 2201, 1331</u>	, 1391	Do not cite jurisdictional states	tutes unless di	versity)'.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		D	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in co			complair			
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE		T		T NUMBER			
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Reed A. Soderstrom								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	'/'	Need A. Godels			<u> </u>		· ·		
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA NORTHWESTERN DIVISION

Richard Knight,)	1117 011 011
Plaintiff,)	Case No. 4:13-CV-004
)	9
VS.)	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
Darren Vondal,)	JUDGMENT
Defendant.)	

Plaintiff, Richard Knight, by and through his attorney, Reed A. Soderstrom, of the law firm of Pringle & Herigstad, P.C., for his Complaint alleges as follows:

PARTIES

I.

Plaintiff is a Minnesota resident, non-Indian, and co-owner of a business, Plains Mechanical, LLP, a North Dakota limited liability partnership.

II.

Defendant is an enrolled member of the Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians and a co-owner of Plains Mechanical, LLP.

Ш.

During and prior to the formation of Plains Mechanical, LLP Defendant was living in various locals, outside the state of North Dakota, and Parshall, ND as well as the oil patch area of North Dakota.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

IV.

Plaintiff brings this action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1651, 2201 (because it involves an actual controversy) and 2202 and Rules

57, 65, and 70 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

V.

This court has jurisdiction pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the issue of tribal court jurisdiction over a non-enrolled person who is not a member of the tribe and owns property that has been taken and held within the confines of an Indian reservation is a federal question arising under the Constitution, laws and treaties of the United States. *National Farmers Union Ins. Cos. v. Crow Tribe*, 471 U.S. 845 (1985). Further, this action involves a controversy that exceeds the sum \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs.

VI.

Venue is proper in the District of North Dakota pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this judicial district and the parties are residents of this judicial district.

FACTS

VII.

The parties formed Plains Mechanical L.L.P. on January 25, 2012, submitting to the Secretary of State for the State of North Dakota and to the laws of the State of North Dakota.

VIII.

The partnership's registered agent is Defendant, who has a P.O. Box in Belcourt, ND.

IX.

The partnership provides welding services to contractors in the Bakken region of

North Dakota.

Χ.

Plaintiff invested 100% of the capital, over \$100,000.00, primarily to purchase a Ford F-350 truck and welding equipment and to cover 100% of partnership expenses. Defendant did not invest any of his money but was to work in the oil patch and the parties were to split profits intending to build a business, service debt and make money. After approximately three months of work, Defendant became disgruntled and took the truck to the Turtle Mountain Indian Reservation and obtained an injunction/restraining order (Exhibit A) on June 25, 2012. The truck has presumably sat on the Turtle Mountain Reservation since that time. Despite repeated and continuous attempts to address the matter, the Turtle Mountain Tribal Court has refused to address what Plaintiff contends is the wrongful taking of an asset. Tribal Court refuses to set hearings or deal with any jurisdictional decrees or merits of any ownership claims.

XI.

Typically, Tribal Court jurisdiction must be determined through the exhaustion of Tribal Court remedies. However, exceptions to the exhaustion requirement occur in instances where an assertion of Tribal Court jurisdiction was "motivated by a desire to harass or is conducted in bad faith" or where the action was "patently violative of express jurisdictional prohibitions, or exhaustion would be futile because of the lack of an adequate opportunity to challenge the Court's jurisdiction." See, Nat'l Farmers Union Ins. Co. v. Crow Tribe of Indians of Montana, 471 U.S. 845, 856; 105 S. Ct. 2447, 85 L.Ed. 2d 818. Plaintiff asserts that the taking of all partnership assets onto a jurisdiction that did not hold any work contracts nor have any significant contacts with the business

at hand is conducted and motivated in bad faith with the desire to harass. The exhaustion requirements discussed in *Nat'l Farmers Union* and *Iowa Mut. Ins. Co. V. LaPlante*, 480 U.S. 9 (1987) "do not expand or stand apart from *Montana's* instruction on 'the inherent sovereign powers of an Indian tribe' and federal courts 'have authority to determine as a matter 'arising under' federal law...whether a tribal court has exceeded the limits of its jurisdiction". *Strate v. A-1 Contractors*, 520 U.S. 438, 448 (1997).

XII.

Most recently the Defendant submitted to a deposition, admitting that he had received and paid himself \$20,000.00 in revenue from the business and not advanced Plaintiff any of the \$60,000.00 in estimated revenues.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
Declaratory Judgment
28 U.S.C. § 2201 et. seq.

XIII.

Plaintiff incorporate by reference as if fully stated herein the allegations contained in paragraphs I-VIII.

XIV.

This case presents an actual controversy between the parties in interest within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and Plaintiff seeks a declaration of his rights and legal relations concerning whether he is subject to a frivolous tribal court order without subsequent follow up or further proceedings and whether the Defendant operates in bad faith.

XV.

Plaintiff requests immediate dissolution of the partnership, adjudication and finalization of any monetary sums owed by one party to the other to be finally and fully determined.

XVI.

Plaintiff requests the enforcement of this Court's decision to be done with the authority of the U.S. Marshall's office because previous Turtle Mountain Tribal Court orders have not been enforced by either the BIA or Tribal police; that this be done, if necessary by and through a writ of assistance.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Petition for Writ of Assistance

XVII.

Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully stated herein the allegations contained in paragraphs I-XIV.

XVIII.

As set forth above, Plaintiff is the sole investor in a limited liability company named Plains Mechanical LLP. The capital invested is primarily a Ford F-350 and welding equipment and supplies. Plaintiff is entitled to dissolution of the partnership and is entitled to exclusive possession of his property. The U.S. Marshall or the Bureau of Indian Affairs will be needed in delivering and vesting the property to the Plaintiff. WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests judgment of the Court as follows:

1. A declaration that the Defendant has no personal and/or subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff in regards to the cause of action brought in the

Turtle Mountain Tribal Court.

- 2. That the property at issue, namely the F-350 Ford pickup and the welding equipment and supplies of Plains Mechanical LLP be delivered to the Plaintiff.
- 3. For attorney's fees, costs and disbursements incurred herein.
- 4. That Plaintiff be allowed to dissolve the North Dakota limited liability corporation under the laws of North Dakota.

Dated this ____3 day of January, 2012.

PRINGLE & HERIGSTAD, P.C.

By:

Reed A. Soderstrom ID# 04759 Attorneys for Plaintiff

2525 Elk Drive PO Box 1000

Minot, ND 58702-1000

(701) 852-0381