JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFEND	DEFENDANTS			
Lynn D. Becker			Ute Indian T	Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Denver, Colorado (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN I	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Uintah County, Utah (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (1)	f Known)			
Isom Law Firm PLLC; Da Salt Lake City, Utah 8411			00;				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)			ES (Place an "\lambda" in One Box for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Case Citizen of This State	PTF DEF	and One E ox for Defendant) PTF DEF or Principal Place □ 4 X 4 In This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State		and Principal Plaçe		
	-		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	n 0606		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nlv) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PEN	ALTY BANKRUPTCY	OTE ER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ▼ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 625 Drug Related Sei of Property 21 U □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Stand. Act □ 720 Labor/Manageme Relations □ 740 Railway Labor A □ 751 Family and Medi Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litig □ 791 Employee Retirer Income Security A	222 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 424 USC 157 425 USC 157 425 USC 157 425 USC 157 425 USC 158 425	□ 375 Fa se Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 An itrust □ 430 Batks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Ratketeer Influenced and Comput Organizations □ 480 Cd saumer Credit □ 490 Caple/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exphange g)) □ 890 Offer Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Entironmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Agritration		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is ▼ 1 Original □ 2 Rei	□ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education of One Box Only) proved from □ 3	□ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement Remanded from □ 4	□ 465 Other Immigration Actions Reinstated or □ 5	Transferred from	listrict		
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reopened	Another District Litigat (specify)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 28 USC 1332 Brief description of ca			ional statutes unless diversity):			
Action for breach of contract for failure to VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: □ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		DEMAND \$ 75,000.00	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demande 1 in complaint:				
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions).	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 02/15/2013		SIGNATURE OF ATTORM /s/ David K. Isom					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP			A	 Case: 2:13-cv-00123 Assigned To: Pead, Dustin B. Assign. Date: 2/15/2013 Description: Becker v. Ute Indiar Tribe 			
				escription: Becker v. t f the Uintah and Oura			

David K. Isom (4773)
ISOM LAW FIRM PLLC
299 South Main Street, Suite 1300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 209 7400
david@isomlawfirm.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

Lynn D. Becker,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation, a federally chartered corporation; and Ute Energy Holding, LLC, a Delaward LLC,

Defendants

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Civil No.

Plaintiff Lynn D. Becker ("Becker") alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Becker is a citizen of Colorado.
- 2. Defendant Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation ("Tribe") is a federally chartered corporation created pursuant to the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, 25 U.S.C. § 477. The Tribe's Corporate Charter provides that its corporate powers include the power "to sue and be sued in courts of competent jurisdiction within the United States...." The headquarters of the Tribe are in Uintah County, Utah. The Tribe is a citizen of Utah within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

- 3. The Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business Committee ("Business Committee") of the Tribe is empowered by the Constitution and By-Laws of the Tribe to regulate the economic affairs of the Tribe.
- 4. Defendant Ute Energy Holding, LLC ("Holding") is a limited liability company whose sole member is the Tribe. Holding is a citizen of Utah within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 5. This action arises under and relates to an Independent Contractor Agreement between Becker and the Tribe effective March 1, 2004 ("Agreement") by which Becker agreed to and did provide services to the Tribe as Manager of the Tribal Energy and Minerals Department, including the implementation of the restructuring and development of the Tribal Energy and Minerals Department. By the Agreement, the Tribe promised to pay to Becker a specified monthly compensation ("Compensation") and agreed that Becker had a 2% participation right in specified revenues ("Participation Right"). The Tribe failed to pay to Becker the Compensation promised and the agreed upon percentage of the revenues as to which Becker had a Participation Right.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action raises substantial issues of federal law, including the following: whether the Agreement required approval by the United States Secretary of the Interior under 25 U.S.C. § 81; whether the Agreement is a Mineral Agreement within the meaning the Indian Mineral Development Act of 1982, 25 U.S.C. §§ 2101-2018; whether the Agreement required approval by the United States Secretary of the Interior under 25 U.S.C. § 2013; whether the Tribe's waiver of sovereign immunity was effective; whether the Tribe's agreement was effective that all disputes arising under or

relating to the Agreement shall be resolved in the United States District Court for the District of Utah; whether the Tribe's submission to the jurisdiction of this Court was effective; whether the Tribe's waiver of Tribal law and Tribal Court jurisdiction was effective; whether the Tribe's consent to service of process for this action was effective; and whether the Tribe's waiver of any requirement of exhaustion of remedies was effective.

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and the action is between citizens of different states.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants because the defendants have transacted business in the State of Utah in connection with the subject matter of this Complaint; because the defendants caused harm to Becker in the State of Utah; and because the Agreement provides that "[a]II disputes arising under or relating to this Agreement shall be resolved in the United States District Court of the District of Utah."
 - 9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

WAIVER OF THE TRIBE'S SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY, WAIVER OF EXHAUSTION OF TRIBAL REMEDIES AND SUBMISSION TO THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION

10. By the terms of the Agreement, the Tribe "agrees to a limited waiver of the defense of sovereign immunity ... in order that such legal proceeding be heard and decided within the terms of this Agreement." The Tribe agreed that such a "Legal Proceeding" included "any judicial ... proceeding conducted pursuant to the Agreement and relating to the interpretation, breach or enforcement of this Agreement." The Agreement also provides that the "Tribe specifically surrenders its sovereign power to

the limited extent necessary to permit the full determination of questions of fact and law and the award of appropriate remedies" in this action.

- 11. By the Agreement, the Tribe "unequivocally submits to the jurisdiction of ...

 U.S. District Court for the District of Utah...."
- 12. By the Agreement, the Tribe also "waives any requirement of Tribal law stating that Tribal courts have exclusive original jurisdiction over all matters involving the Tribe and waives any requirement that such Legal Proceedings be brought in Tribal Court...."
- 13. By the Agreement, the Tribe "waives any requirement ... that Tribal remedies be exhausted."
- 14. By Resolution 05-147 adopted April 27, 2005, the Business Committee was authorized to enter into the Agreement and the Chairman of the Business Committee was authorized to execute all documents necessary or appropriate to carry out the terms and intent of the Resolution.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Damages for Breach of Contract)

- 15. Effective March 1, 2004, Becker and the Tribe entered into the Agreement. The Agreement is an integrated, written Independent Contractor Agreement.
- 16. The Agreement provides "[t]his Agreement and all disputes arising hereunder shall be subject to, governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Utah."

- 17. By the Agreement, the parties agreed that Becker would provide specified services to the Tribe and have the title of Land Division Manager of the Energy and Minerals Department of the Tribe ("Services").
- 18. The Services included the implementation of the restructuring and development of the Tribal Energy and Minerals Department.
- 19. The Tribe agreed to pay Becker a specified amount of compensation per month ("Compensation") during the term of the Agreement pursuant to Exhibit A of the Agreement.
- 20. In addition, the Tribe agreed that Becker "shall receive a beneficial interest of two percent (2%) of net revenue distributed to Ute Energy Holding, LLC from Ute Energy, LLC (and net of any administrative costs of Ute Energy Holdings)" described in Exhibit B to the Agreement ("Contractor's Interest").
- 21. Becker performed his duties under the Agreement until the Agreement was terminated.
- 22. The Tribe made some payments under the Agreement, but breached the Agreement by failing to make payments required by the Agreement.
- 23. The Tribe has failed to pay to Becker part of the Compensation and part of the payments due as Contractor's Interest under the Agreement.
- 24. The amount that the Tribe owes as Compensation and as Contractor's Interest exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and the precise amount owed will be established by the accounting requested in this action and will be proven at trial.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Breach of Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

25. Becker incorporates the foregoing allegations.

26. A covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied in every contract

governed by Utah law.

27. The Tribe breached the implied covenant of good faith by failing to pay the

amounts promised and by refusing to provide to Becker the information necessary to

determine the precise amount owed as Contractor's Interest.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Accounting)

28. Becker incorporates the foregoing allegations.

29. Defendants have exclusive custody, possession and control of the

financial and other information necessary to determine the amount that is owed to

Becker as his Contractor's Interest and therefore the amount of the judgment that

should be entered in this action.

30. The Tribe should be ordered to provide an accounting to Becker.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Becker respectfully requests that the Court order defendants to provide

accounting of the amount owed to Becker under the Agreement; that the Court enter

judgment against the Tribe in the amount of the damages proximately caused to

Becker; and for all relief that is just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Becker requests that a jury should determine all issues that may be tried by a

jury.

Dated: February 15, 2013

ISOM LAW FIRM PLLC

6

/s/ David K. Isom
David K. Isom
Attorney for Plaintiff Lynn D. Becker