EXHIBIT

1	· ·	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA CENTRAL DIVISION	
3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		*
4	-vs-	Plaintiff, * HEARING ON MOTION * FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
5	PAYDAY FINANCIAL, I	LC, et al, * *
6		Defendants. *
7	TIME AND PLACE:	•
8		United States District Courtroom 225 S. Pierre St.
9		Pierre, SD 57501
10	BEFORE:	HON. ROBERTO A. LANGE U. S. District Court
	4,	225 S. Pierre St.
11		Pierre, SD 57501
12	APPEARANCES:	MS. CHERYL SCHREMPP DUPRIS U. S. Attorney's Office
13		225 S. Pierre St. #337
14		Pierre, SD 57501-2489 ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF
15	.81	MS. Lashawn M. Johnson
16	10	MS. K. MICHELLE GRAJALES Federal Trade Commission
17	e	600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., NJ-3158 Washington, DC 20580
18		ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF
19		MS. CLAUDIA CALLAWAY MR. JOHN W. BLACK
20		Katten, Muchin, Rosenman, LLP 2900 K. Street NW - North Tower Ste. 200
21		Washington, DC 20007-5118 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
0.	_	
22		MS. CHERYL F. LAURENZ-BOGUE Bogue & Bogue, LLP
23		P.O. Box 250 Faith, SD 57626-0250
24		ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
25	ALSO PRESENT:	MR. MARTIN A. "BUTCH" WEBB - DEFENDANT

	1	
1	INDEX	
2	PAGE	
3	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER	
4	ARGUMENT BY MS. CALLAWAY5	
5	ARGUMENT BY MS. GRAJALES9	
6	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY MS. CALLAWAY	
7	COURT GRANTS IN PART, DENIES IN PART	
8	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER17	
9	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
10	ARGUMENT BY MS. CALLAWAY21	
11	ARGUMENT BY MS. JOHNSON49	
12	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT BY MS. CALLAWAY62	
13	COURT TAKES MATTER UNDER ADVISEMENT70	
14	# # # #	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	6	
24		
25		

1 agreements then contain additional languages -- additional 2 provisions regarding waiver of jury trial, waiver of class 3 action, and arbitration provision? MS. CALLAWAY: Yes. But there are variations in 4 5 that language as well. 6 **THE COURT:** In the arbitration provision language? MS. CALLAWAY: In the arbitration provision. For 7 8 example, in a Western Sky loan agreement, the arbitration 9 provision allows for an opt-out there, where a consumer can 10 contact Western Sky within a specific time period and opt out of the arbitration provision and the class action and jury 11 12 trial waiver. 13 THE COURT: The Document 10, pages 14 through 18, that the Court pulled, appears to be an agreement between 14 15 consumer and Payday Financial, LLC. 16 MS. CALLAWAY: Which does business as Lakota Cash, 17 yes, Your Honor. **THE COURT:** All right. And in it, the arbitration 18 19 provision, which is a bit of a difficult read, seems to 20 contemplate arbitration on the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal 21 Nation, but the Court is not sure whether it is arbitration 22 within the court system, which is sort of a curious thing to think of. Usually arbitration means non-court. 23 24 MS. CALLAWAY: Right, Your Honor. 25 **THE COURT:** We are dealing with tribal court, so the 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court was puzzled here, at least looking at this particular agreement, whether there in fact is exclusive jurisdiction that is attempted to be placed in the Cheyenne River Sioux tribal court, or if there is a binding arbitration provision that contemplates arbitration on the Cheyenne River Indian Reservation. How would -- well, in this case, Payday Financial, LLC, interpret or read this agreement? MS. CALLAWAY: In this case, Payday Financial, LLC -- looking at disputes regarding the contract itself, including the arbitration provision, and as the body of law regarding arbitration in the federal courts, if the -- if the Court were looking at a challenge to the arbitration provision, that challenge would need to be in the Cheyenne River Sioux tribal courts rather than in the courts of the United States or South Dakota or any other state. The main contract here invokes the exclusive jurisdiction of the Cheyenne River Sioux courts and also the exclusive application of Cheyenne River Sioux law. arbitration provision within the Cheyenne River Sioux Nation arbitration is -- is within that court system. **THE COURT:** So what the entity is contemplating is binding arbitration conducted by the Cheyenne River Sioux tribal court? MS. CALLAWAY: Within the Cheyenne River Sioux court, yes, Your Honor. But the other thing --

THE COURT: Is there a separate -- the Court thinks it knows the answer to this, but is going to ask it anyway. There are judges that are part of the Cheyenne River Sioux tribal court. Is there a separate arm of the court that is for arbitration?

MS. CALLAWAY: No. You know, and this is something Ms. Bogue may speak to, and I was going to say -- I was going to make a distinction using the word "no." I apologize. Yes, there is a separate arm, and this is all within the Cheyenne River Sioux tribal court system, because when you look at the arbitration issue and when you look at the contract four-square, you have provisions regarding the -- and by the way, there is no dispute here that this is a consensual relationship. I don't think that anybody -- I don't think that the FTC is saying that there is no consensual relationship here.

This contract designates application of Cheyenne River Sioux tribal law. The contract sets forth the loan terms, sets forth the same federal Truth-in-Lending Act disclosures that you would receive from a loan from Wells Fargo, sets forth the terms of the loan, and then has an arbitration provision that is strikingly similar to the Wells Fargo arbitration provision that you would -- that you would receive, or any of the other, let's say, Citibank or Capital One.