The Honorable Benjamin H. Settle 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF THE NO. C08-5562 9 CHEHALIS RESERVATION, a federally PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS recognized Indian tribe on its own behalf and as 10 parens patriae for its members, and CTGW, NOTE ON MOTION DOCKET: LLC, a limited liability company organized 11 under Delaware law, January 24, 2014 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. 14 THURSTON COUNTY BOARD OF 15 EQUALIZATION, a political subdivision of the 16 state of Washington; et al, 17 Defendants. 18 **MOTION** I. 19 Plaintiffs Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation and CTGW, LLC, 20 request judgment on costs pursuant to LCR 54(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and Federal Rule of 21 Civil Procedure 54. 22 Judgment was entered in this matter on December 17, 2013, in favor of Plaintiffs. 23 Dkt. # 219. Plaintiffs now seek judgment for costs of deposition transcripts obtained for 24 25 use in the case, electronic transcripts and exhibits, and deposition reporter appearance fees totaling \$28,423.58—as itemized on the Declaration of Anthony Broadman in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Costs ("Broadman Decl."), Exhibit A. PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS - 1 Galanda Broadman, PLLC (C08-5562) 8606 35th Ave NE, Ste. L1 Mail: PO Box 15146 Seattle, Washington 98115

(206) 557-7509

25

Plaintiffs seek essentially the same costs sought by and awarded to Defendants on July 1, 2010, prior to the reversal of the Court's prior judgment by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Dkt. # 199. Plaintiffs also seek those costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals in the amount of \$796.80. Dkt. # 209.

II. AUTHORITY

A. Costs Are Recoverable Under 28 U.S.C. § 1920.

A prevailing party is entitled to costs including, "Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily obtained for use in the case." 28 U.S.C. § 1920(2) & (3); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)

B. Plaintiffs' Costs Were Necessary.

The prevailing party is entitled to recover costs for depositions and transcripts "necessarily obtained for use in the case." *Id.; Alflex Corp. v. Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.*, 914 F.2d 175, 177 (9th Cir. 1990). Here, "the case" consisted primarily of two motions for summary judgment filed by Plaintiffs and one motion for summary judgment filed by Defendants. Dkt. # 47, 100, 113. All of the deposition transcripts set forth on Exhibit A to the Broadman Decl. were "use[d] in the case."

The transcripts were also "reasonably necessary" for use in the case. *Independent Iron Works, Inc. v. United States Steel Corp.*, 322 F.2d 656, 677–78 (9th Cir. 1963). Pretrial transcripts are especially necessary when the case is unusually involved and complex. *Id.* at 678. In *Alflex* the Ninth Circuit held that the cost of obtaining depositions and copies of deposition transcripts were allowable under 28 U.S.C. § 1920 where the transcripts were reasonably necessary to the defendant's motion for summary judgment. 914 F.2d at 177; *see also Cengr v. Fusibond Piping Sys.*, 135 F.3d 445, 455 (7th Cir. 1998) (a deposition is "reasonably necessary" to a party when preparing a motion for summary judgment.)

Plaintiffs and Defendants are in agreement that nearly all of transcripts listed on

1 Exhibit A to the Broadman Decl. were reasonably necessary for use in the case. See Dkt. 2 # 186 (Defendants arguing transcripts reasonable necessary); Dkt. # 187 at 3-4 (listing 3 reasonably necessary transcripts). As to the transcripts of Professor Joseph Kalt, for 4 which Defendants did not seek costs, it was reasonably necessary as conceded by 5 Defendants when they sought attendance fees for that deposition. Dkt. # 187 at 4. 6 Moreover, Professor Kalt was to be Plaintiffs' key expert witness at trial. Dkt. # 146 at 7 13. As to the second transcript volumes of the depositions Lester Olson, Donald Krupp 8 and Robin Hunt, they were continuations of their initial depositions, which Defendants 9 conceded were necessary. Dkt. # 187 at 3.

Defendants did not mention and therefore concede that Kevin O'Sullivan's deposition transcript was reasonably necessary. *Id.* It was. Mr. O'Sullivan was disclosed as a trial witness and subpoenaed to testify at trial. Dkt. # 146, 177. His deposition also formed part of the basis for Professor Kalt's report, relied extensively on in Plaintiffs' second motion for summary judgment. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. # 113-2.

Defendants argued in their motion for summary judgment that their taxes were proper based on "particularized inquiry into the nature of the state, federal, and tribal interests at state" based on "the degree of federal regulation involved, the respective governmental interests of the tribes and states (both regulatory and revenue raising), and the provision of tribal or state services to the party the state seeks to tax." Dkt. # 100 at 7 (citations omitted). Plaintiffs argued the opposite. All discovery and use of such discovery in response to Defendants' motion related to the nature of governmental interests at stake was therefore necessary. Moreover, Defendants never sought to limit discovery or took the position that discovery and proof related to such governmental interests was not critical to the balancing test employed by the Court.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request an award of its costs as set forth above.

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS - 3 (C08-5562)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Case 3:08-cv-05562-BHS	Document 220	Filed 01/06/14	Page 4 of 5	
1					
2	DATED this 6 th day of January, 2014.				
3		•	/s Anthony S. Bro	padman,WSBA #39508	
4			Gabriel S. Galano	la, WSBA #30331 lman, WSBA #39508	
5			GALANDA BRO 8606 35 th Ave NI	OADMAN, PLLC	
6			Mail: PO Box 15	146	
7			Seattle, WA 981 Telephone: (206)	557-7509	
8			Fax: (206) 299-76 Email: gabe@gal	590 andabroadman.com	
9				galandabroadman.com intiffs Confederated	
10				halis Reservation and	
11			CIGW, LLC		
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
2425					
23					

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	I, Anthony S. Broadman, say:			
3	1. I am now, and at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States,			
4				
	a resident of the State of Washington, over the age of 18 years, not a party to or interested			
5	in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness herein.			
6	2. On January 6, 2014, I electronically filed the following documents with			
7	the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system:			
8	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR COSTS			
9	which will send notification to the following via e-mail:			
10	Jane Futterman			
11	futterj@co.thurston.wa.us; olsenl@co.thurston.wa.us			
12	Scott C. Cushing cushins@co.thurston.wa.us			
13	DATED this 6th day of January, 2014.			
14				
15	/s Anthony S. Broadman, WSBA #39508 Galanda Broadman, PLLC			
16	8606 35 th Ave NE, Ste. L1			
17	Mail: PO Box 15146 Seattle, WA 98115			
18	Telephone: (206) 557-7509			
19	Fax: (206) 299-7690 Email:anthony@galandabroadman.com			
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation and CTGW, LLC			
21	Chemans Reservation and CTOW, LLC			
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$				
23				
24				
25				