:	Case 2:14-cv-01349-WBS-EFB Document 1	L4 Filed 08/07/14 Page 1 of 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel. (949) 477-5050; Fax (949) 608-9142 Email: lwozniak@wrightlegal.net	
12 13	SILVIA BURLEY, et al.,	Case No: 2:14-cv-01349-WBS-EFB
14 15 16	Plaintiff, vs.	(Related Case No. 2:14-cv-01567-GEB-CKD)  Assigned to: Hon. William B. Shubb
17 18 19	ONEWEST BANK, FSB, et al.,  Defendants.	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
20 21 22	DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY,	
23	Plaintiff,	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	vs. SILVIA BURLEY, et al., Defendants.	
28		

RESPONSE TO OSC

## TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendants ONEWEST BANK, N.A., f/k/a ONEWEST BANK, FSB and DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE OF THE INDYMAC INDA MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR3, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-AR3 UNDER THE POOLING AND SERVICING AGREEMENT DATED MAY 1, 2007 ("Defendants") hereby respond to the Order to Show Cause issued by this Court on August 1, 2014, as follows:

On July 31, 2014, the Court issued an order requiring Defendants to show cause, in writing, by August 9, 2014, as to why Case No. 2:14-cv-01349-WBS-EFB entitled *Burley, et al.*, v. *OneWest Bank, FSB, et al.* ("First Case") should not be remanded to San Joaquin County Superior Court for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and why Case No. 2:14-cv-01567-GEB-CKD entitled *Deutsche Bank Natl. Trust Co. v. Burley, et al.*, ("Second Case") should not be dismissed.

16 || / / /

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

 $\parallel$ ///

18 || / / /

19 || / / /

20 || / / /

21 ||///

22 ||///

23 | / / /

24 || / / /

25 | / / /

26 || / / /

27 ||///

28 ||///

## Case 2:14-cv-01349-WBS-EFB Document 14 Filed 08/07/14 Page 3 of 5

Defendants respectfully submit that the First Case, which was originally 1 filed in this Court, should be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and 2 the Second Case, which was removed by Silvia Burley, et al., from the 3 Sacramento County Superior Court on July 2, 2014, should be remanded back to 4 the Superior Court for further adjudication. 5 Respectfully submitted, 6 7 WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP 8 Dated: August 5, 2014 By: /s/ Lukasz I. Wozniak 9 T. Robert Finlay, Esq. Lukasz I. Wozniak, Esq. 10 Attorneys for Defendants, 11 ONEWEST BANK, N.A., f/k/a ONEWEST 12 BANK, FSB and DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS 13 TRUSTEE OF THE INDYMAC INDA 14 MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2007-AR3, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH 15 **CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-AR3** 16 UNDER THE POOLING AND 17 SERVICING AGREEMENT DATED MAY 1, 2007 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 П 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

24

25

26

27

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Margaret Augustyniak, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 200, Newport Beach, California 92660. I am readily familiar with the practices of Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

On August 7, 2014, I served the within **DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** on all interested parties in this action as follows:

[] by placing [] the original [X] a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

Gary R. Saunders, Esq Saunders Law Group, LTD. 1891 California Avenue, Suite 102 Corona, CA 92881 (951) 272-9114

**Attorney for Plaintiffs** 

Silvia Burley and The California Valley Miwok Tribe

[] (BY MAIL SERVICE) I placed such envelope(s) for collection to be mailed on this date following ordinary business practices.

22 (BY CERTIFIED MAIL SERVICE) I placed such envelope(s) for collection to be mailed on this date following ordinary business practices, via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.

- [] (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused personal delivery by ATTORNEY SERVICE of said document(s) to the offices of the addressee(s) as set forth on the attached service list.
- [] (BY FACSIMILE) The facsimile machine I used, with telephone no. (949) 477-9200, complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003, and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2006(d), I

caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to the original Proof of Service.

- [X] (BY NORCO OVERNITE NEXT DAY DELIVERY) I placed true and correct copies thereof enclosed in a package designated by Norco Overnite with the delivery fees provided for.
- [X] (CM/ECF Electronic Filing) I caused the above document(s) to be transmitted to the office(s) of the addressee(s) listed by electronic mail at the e-mail address(es) set forth above pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P.5(b)(2)(E). "A Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) is generated automatically by the ECF system upon completion of an electronic filing. The NEF, when e-mailed to the e-mail address of record in the case, shall constitute the proof of service as required by Fed.R.Civ.P.5(b)(2)(E). A copy of the NEF shall be attached to any document served in the traditional manner upon any party appearing pro se."
- [X] (Federal) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 7, 2014, at Newport Beach, California.

Margaret Augustyniak