UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

SWINOMISH TRIBAL COMMUNITY,

Plaintiff,

No.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
BURLINGTON NORTHERN, INC.,

Defendant.

The plaintiff, Swinomish Tribal Community, alleges:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1362 and 1331. The matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00).
- 2. Declaratory and injunctive relief is requested pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 3. Plaintiff Swinomish Tribal Community is the successor in interest to certain tribes, bands, and groups of Indians which were parties to the Treaty of Point Elliott. The Swinomish Tribal

Senate is duly recognized by the Secretary of the Interior as the governing body of the Swinomish Indian Reservation and is organized pursuant to § 16 of the Indian Reorganization Act of June 18, 1934, 48 Stat. 987, 25 U.S.C. § 476.

- Defendant Burlington Northern, Inc. is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is duly licensed and doing business within the State of Washington as a railway carrier.
- The Swinomish Indian Reservation was established by the Treaty of Point Elliott between the United States and the Dwamish, Suquamish, and other allied and subordinate tribes of Indians in Washington Territory, dated January 22, 1855, ratified on March 8, 1859, proclaimed by the President on April 11, 1859. 12 Stat. 927. The Treaty of Point Elliott (Article 2) secured and confirmed the Swinomish Tribal Community's predecessors in the possession of a portion of their aboriginal lands described as "the peninsula at the southeastern end of Perry's Island called Sh'ais-quihl". The Reservation includes, at a minimum, the western one-half of the Swinomish Channel on the east and all surrounding and adjacent tidelands.
- During all times since 1855, the tidelands surrounding and adjacent to the upland portions of the Swinomish Indian Reservation were, and are, held in trust by the United States on behalf of the Swinomish Tribal Community.
- A railway and bridge and appurtenant facilities were constructed across the Swinomish Channel and the tidelands on the COMPLAINT - 2

2

1

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

northern portion of the Swinomish Indian Reservation by the Seattle and Northern Railway Company in 1890. Burlington Northern, Inc. is the successor in interest to the Seattle and Northern Railway Company. The railway is presently in use and is maintained and operated by Burlington Northern, Inc.

- 8. No grant of a right-of-way across the tribally owned tidelands has been made by the Swinomish Tribal Community or the United States to defendant Burlington Northern, Inc. or its predecessors. Burlington Northern, Inc. and its predecessors have been trespassing on these lands from 1890 to the present.
- 9. By constructing the railway through tribal lands, the defendant gained the benefit of greatly reduced cost in the construction and annual maintenance of the railway. Such benefit would not have been gained if the railway facilities had been constructed north of the Reservation. As a result of said wrongful use and occupation of tribal lands, Burlington Northern, Inc. and its predecessors have been unjustly enriched.
- 10. By constructing the railway through these tribal tidelands, the defendant severely damaged a prime traditional mussel and shellfish gathering grounds of the Indians residing on the Swinomish Indian Reservation.
- 11. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to protect itself from said future and presently continuing trespasses except by filing a multiplicity of suits. In addition, there is a grave danger of irreparable damage to the land and the fishery in Padilla Bay and the Swinomish Channel, upon which the Swinomish Tribal COMPLAINT 3

1	Community relies as their major source of economic stability, should
2	a derailment or accident occur.
3	WHEREFORE, plaintiff Swinomish Tribal Community prays for
4	the following relief against defendant Burlington Northern, Inc.:
5	 A permanent injunction against the continuing trespass
6	by defendant;
7	2. A judgment against defendant for the possession of
8	said tidelands and channel;
9	3. Monetary damages for trespass, unjust enrichment of
10	the defendant and/or restitution for use and occupation of the land;
11	and
12	4. For costs and such further and additional relief as
13	the Court may deem necessary.
14	DATED: July / 1978.
15	Respectfully submitted,
16	SHARON K. EADS ROBERT S. PELCYGER
17	Native American Rights Fund 1506 Broadway
18	Boulder, Colorado 80302 (303) 447-8760
19	
20	By Sharon K. Eads
21	PETER J. WILKE
22	Swinomish Tribal Community P. O. Box 416
23	La Conner, Washington 98257 (206) 466-3185
24	
25	By
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff
	COMPLAINT - 4

11

- ď'