Case 1:15-cv-00367-GEB-JLT Document 14 Filed 04/14/15 Page 1 of 7

1	JOHN D. KIRBY, ESQ. (SBN 066432)				
2	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN D. KIRBY, A.P.C.				
į	9747 Business Park Avenue San Diego, California 92131				
3	(858) 621-6244				
4	(858) 621-6302 fax				
5	MARGARET KEMP-WILLIAMS, County	Counsel (SBN 123347)			
6	COUNTY OF INYO	,			
7	224 North Edwards Street, P.O. Box M Independence, California 93526	Fees Exempt, Gov't. Code §§ 6103 and 6103.5			
	(760) 878-0229				
8	(760) 878-2241 fax				
9	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF INYO; INYO COUNTY SHERIFF WILLIAM				
10	LUTZE; AND INYO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY THOMAS HARDY				
11					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	EASTERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14					
	BISHOP PAIUTE TRIBE,) Case No. 1:15-CV-00367 JLT			
15	77) DODITE AND AUTHORITIES DI			
16	Plaintiff,) POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN) SUPPORT OF MOTION BY			
17	vs.) DEFENDANT COUNTY OF INYO			
18	INYO COUNTY, a governmental entity,) TO DISMISS AMENDED COMPLAINT) AGAINST IT FOR FAILURE TO STATE			
19	WILLIAM LUTZE, Inyo County Sheriff;) CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE			
20	and THOMAS HARDY, Inyo County District Attorney,) GRANTED [FRCP 12(b)(6)]			
	District Attorney,) Date: June 2, 2015			
21	Defendants.) Time: 9:30 A.M.			
22) Dept: To Be Assigned			
23		District Judge: To Be Assigned			
24		Magistrate: Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston Complaint Filed: 3/6/15			
25		Trial Date: Not Set			
26					
27					
28		i			

Case 1:15-cv-00367-GEB-JLT Document 14 Filed 04/14/15 Page 2 of 7

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2			<u>Page</u>
3	I.	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF MOTION	1
4	II.	THE SHERIFF AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY ARE INDEPENDENTLY ELECTED OFFICIALS, AS SET	
5		FORTH IN CALIFORNIA LAW, AND EACH OF THEM ACTS INDEPENDENTLY, AND WITH HIS OWN	
6		DISCRETION, IN PERFORMING HIS ELECTED	
7		OFFICIAL DUTIES AS PRESCRIBED BY CALIFORNIA LAW	1
8	III.	NO CLAIMS THAT INVOKE RESPONDEAT	
9		SUPERIOR LIABILITY	4
10	IV.	CONCLUSION	5
11			
12			
13	:		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	:		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF MOTION

This motion is made by defendant COUNTY OF INYO (sometimes herein also referred to as "Inyo County" or simply the "County"), a political subdivision of the State of California, to dismiss the entirety of plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and each claim therein, filed against it in this case.

The motion is based upon the fact that each and all of the acts and actions which are alleged by plaintiffs to have been wrongful, as alleged in the Amended Complaint, are those of either the independently elected Sheriff of Inyo County (defendant Sheriff William Lutze), or of the independently elected District Attorney of Inyo County (defendant District Attorney Thomas Hardy), which actions were taken within the discretion and capacities of their respective independently elected offices of Sheriff and District Attorney. As such, in that each of such actions was the independent act within the discretion of an independently elected official, and in that the County of Inyo, as a body itself, does not control and as a matter of law cannot control the said discretionary actions taken by said elected officials, in the performance of their respective duties, no relieve for the alleged wrongful acts can be obtained as against the County itself, and the claims herein made against the County should therefore be dismissed.

Π

THE SHERIFF AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY ARE INDEPENDENTLY ELECTED OFFICIALS, AS SET FORTH IN CALIFORNIA LAW, AND EACH OF THEM ACTS INDEPENDENTLY, AND WITH HIS OWN DISCRETION, IN PERFORMING HIS ELECTED OFFICIAL DUTIES AS PRESCRIBED BY CALIFORNIA LAW

As alleged in the Amended Complaint, defendant Sheriff William Lutze is the elected Sheriff of Inyo County, and defendant Thomas Hardy is the elected District Attorney of Inyo County. The California Government Code and other applicable California law provides that each of Sheriff Lutze and District Attorney Hardy is an independently elected official, and is

Case 1:15-cv-00367-GEB-JLT Document 14 Filed 04/14/15 Page 4 of 7

1	charged with the duties prescribed by the California Government Code and other California
2	law. With regard to Sheriff Lutze and District Attorney Hardy, the following provisions of the
3	Government Code nd California Constitution, and interpretive case law regarding the same,
4	provide the frame work for these principles:
5	Government Code § 24000. Enumeration of county officers
6	The officers of a county are:
7	(a) A district attorney.
8	(a) A district attorney. (b) A sheriff.

Government Code § 24009. Elective or appointive offices; procedure for change in designation

(x) Such other officers as are provided by law.

(a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), the county officers to be elected by the people are the ... sheriff, ... district attorney, ... and coroner.

.

Government Code § 24200. Election date of county officers; beginning of term

Except as otherwise provided, all elective county officers shall be elected at the general election at which the Governor is elected, and take office at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday after the January 1st succeeding their election.

Government Code § 25303. Supervision of officers

The board of supervisors shall supervise the official conduct of all county officers, and officers of all districts and other subdivisions of the county, and particularly insofar as the functions and duties of such county officers and officers of all districts and subdivisions of the county relate to the assessing, collecting, safekeeping, management, or disbursement of public funds....

Case 1:15-cv-00367-GEB-JLT Document 14 Filed 04/14/15 Page 5 of 7

This section shall not be construed to affect the independent and constitutionally and statutorily designated investigative and prosecutorial functions of the sheriff and district attorney of a county. The board of supervisors shall not obstruct the investigative function of the sheriff of the county nor shall it obstruct the investigative and prosecutorial function of the district attorney of a county. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to limit the budgetary authority of the board of supervisors over the district attorney or sheriff. provided by law. prosecutions for public offenses. or the suppression of delinquency.

Government Code § 26500. Public prosecutor

The district attorney is the public prosecutor, except as otherwise

The public prosecutor shall attend the courts, and within his or her discretion shall initiate and conduct on behalf of the people all

Government Code § 26600. Preservation of peace

The sheriff shall preserve peace, and to accomplish this object may sponsor, supervise, or participate in any project of crime prevention, rehabilitation of persons previously convicted of crime,

Government Code § 26601. Arrests

The sheriff shall arrest and take before the nearest magistrate for examination all persons who attempt to commit or who have committed a public offense.

Government Code § 26602. Prevention and suppression of disturbances; execution of disease prevention orders

The sheriff shall prevent and suppress any affrays, breaches of the peace, riots, and insurrections that come to his or her knowledge, and investigate public offenses which have been committed. The sheriff may execute all orders of the local health officer issued for

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 1:15-cv-00367-GEB-JLT Document 14 Filed 04/14/15 Page 6 of 7

1 the purpose of preventing the spread of any contagious or communicable disease. 2 3 California Constitution, Article 5, Section 13 4 § 13. Attorney General; law enforcement 5 6 7 8 10

Sec. 13. Subject to the powers and duties of the Governor, the Attorney General shall be the chief law officer of the State. It shall be the duty of the Attorney General to see that the laws of the State are uniformly and adequately enforced. The Attorney General shall have direct supervision over every district attorney and sheriff and over such other law enforcement officers as may be designated by law, in all matters pertaining to the duties of their respective offices, and may require any of said officers to make reports investigation, detection, prosecution, concerning the punishment of crime in their respective jurisdictions as to the Attorney General may seem advisable. Whenever in the opinion of the Attorney General any law of the State is not being adequately enforced in any county, it shall be the duty of the Attorney General to prosecute any violations of law of which the superior court shall have jurisdiction, and in such cases the Attorney General shall have all the powers of a district attorney. When required by the public interest or directed by the Governor, the Attorney General shall assist any district attorney in the discharge of the duties of that office.

As the foregoing show, California Sheriffs and California District Attorneys perform their official law enforcement and prosecution duties, exercising their own discretion, without interference from County supervisors. The fact that a County board of supervisors may have budgetary control over the departments of the Sheriff and District Attorney in no way interferes with the discretion of these elected officials to perform, or the manner in which they perform, their prescribed official duties.

III

NO CLAIMS MADE THAT INVOKE RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR LIABILITY

Further, all of the claims set forth in plaintiff's Amended Complaint seek declarations of rights or injunctions against the elected Sheriff and elected District Attorney of Inyo County

28

27

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 1:15-cv-00367-GEB-JLT Document 14 Filed 04/14/15 Page 7 of 7 1 in the discretionary performance of their duties. There is no claim for tort liability; there is no 2 claim for 42 U.S.C. § 1983 liability; there is no claim for other respondent superior liability; 3 and there is no claim for any other relief that the County itself can provide. Accordingly, there 4 is no claim made against the County for which this Court may render relief as against it; and 5 this motion to dismiss, for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be obtained, should 6 be granted as to defendant County of Inyo. 7 IV 8 CONCLUSION 9 By way of all of the foregoing, the motion herein made by defendant County of Inyo 10 for dismissal of the entirety of plaintiff's Amended Complaint against it should be granted 11 without leave to amend. 12 Dated: April 14, 2015 Respectfully submitted, 13 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN D. KIRBY, A Professional Corporation 14 15 16 17 18 Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF INYO, Inyo County Sheriff WILLIAM 19 LUTZE, and Inyo County District Attorney THOMAS HARDY 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27