

RECEIVED
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 26 2013

FILED _____
DOCKETED _____ DATE _____ INITIAL _____

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Koniag
v


No 13-35759
Case No 3:12 cv 00077

Kurt Kanam
Orbie Mullins

NOTICE OF AMENDED APPEAL
ANSWER TO SHOW CAUSE

Notice is herby given that Orbie Mullins and Kurt Kanam defendant in the
above action is herby appeal the district court's order of July 29,2013 Dct #
79

Date 9/20/13



Kurt Kanam
2103 Harrison 3143
Olympia WA 98502



Orbie Mullins
P.O. box 237
Toledo WA 98591

RECEIVED
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 26 2013

FILED	_____	_____
DOCKETED	_____	_____
	DATE	INITIAL

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
No 13-35789
Case No 3:12 cv 00077

Kurt Kanam, Orbie Mullins Appellant

v

Koniag Inc Michael O'Connell Appellee

On Appeal from the United States District Court fort the District of Alaska
District Court Docket No 79
Judge Sharon Gleason

BREIF OF APPELLANT

UNITES STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT


KONIAG V Kanam et all
No 13-35759
NO Case No 3:13 cv 00051

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Appellant certifies the following is true:

1. The full name of every party resented by me is: Kurt Kanam and Orbie Mulins
2. The name of the real party at interest is: Kurt Kanam and Orbie Mullins
3. All Parent corporations and any public held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curie represented by me is: None
4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appear for the party or amicus curie represented by me in trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are : None

Date 9/20/13



Kurt Kanam
2103 Harrison 3143
Olympia WA 98502



Orbie Mullins
P.O. box 237
Toledo WA 98591

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND APPELLANT JURISDICTION

I STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

II STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. NATURE OF THE CASE

B. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

III SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

IV ARGUMENT

A. STANDARD OF REVIEW

B. THE DISTRICT COURT IMPROPERLY LIMITED CLAIM TO THE
PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

V CONCLUSION

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

There has been no and is no other appeal from the present civil action in this or any other appellate court. Appellant is unaware of any other case pending in this or any other court that will directly affect or will be directly affected by this Court's decision in the pending appeal.

STATEMENT OF SUBJECT MATTER AND APPELLANT JURISDICTION

The statutory basis for jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Ninth Circuit is the 28 USC 144.

I STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

1 Did the district court lack jurisdiction to issue the order granting a permanent injunction due to a conflict of interest in being a cross compliant defendant to the action Dct #71 and #72 and did the court err in ignoring the mandatory judicial notice of securities fraud at Dct #61

II STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A. Plaintiff Koniag Inc. is engaged in an ongoing conspiracy to commit securities fraud against the people of the United States

B. Statement of Facts

On or about 1984 a 1980 Koniag Inc merger agreement was found by a jury to contain securities fraud. see Dct # 71.

That 1980 fraudulent merger agreement has been used by the Plaintiff to

✓

fraudulently collect millions of tax dollars. See Dct #71 and 72

Judge Sharon Gleason has mandatory judicial knowledge of this fraud and has been named as a cross complaint defendant in this action See Dct #71 and 72

III SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The district court is without jurisdiction to issue a permanent injunction due to a conflict of interest and judicial knowledge of securities fraud

IV ARGUMENT

A. Standard of Review

V CONCLUSION

The district court erred in its July 29, 2013 order granting a permanent injunction due to a conflict of interest being a cross complaint defendant and judicial knowledge of securities fraud.

Appellants respectfully request a reversal of the district court's July 29, 2013 order granting a permanent injunction

Date 9/20/13



Kurt Kanam
2103 Harrison 3143
Olympia WA 98502



Orbie Mullins
P.O. box 237
Toledo WA 98591

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to the rules of Appellant Procedure 32(a)(7)(B0 and & and Federal Circuit Rule 32(b), I hereby certify the Brief of Appellant Kanam Mullins complies with the type volume limitation therein provided, and I further certify that the Principal Brief of Appellant Kanam Mullins contains approximately _____ words including headings, footnotes, quotations and figures, but excluding the cover all pages numbered with roman numerals, and this certificate of compliance, as counted automatically by _____

Date

9/20/13



Kurt Kanam
2103 Harrison 3143
Olympia WA 98502



Orbie Mullins
P.O. box 237
Toledo WA 98591