1	Scharf-Norton Center for Constitutional Lit	igation at the
1	GOLDWATER INSTITUTE	
2	Aditya Dynar (031583)	
3	500 E. Coronado Rd.	
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 462-5000	
4	litigation@goldwaterinstitute.org	
5		
6	COOPER & KIRK, PLLC	
7	Michael W. Kirk (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
	Brian W. Barnes (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Harold S. Reeves (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
8	1523 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.	
9	Washington, D.C. 20036	
10	(202) 220-9600	
10	(202) 220-9601 (fax)	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12	IN THE UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
13	FOR THE DISTRICT	
14	A D. LG L. GAROL GOGULAN	I
	A.D. and C. by CAROL COGHLAN CARTER, their next friend;	No. CV-15-1259-PHX-NVW
15	S.H. and J.H., a married couple;	140. CV-13-1239-111X-14VVV
16	M.C. and K.C., a married couple;	
17	for themselves and on behalf of a class of	APPLICATION FOR
	similarly-situated individuals,	SUSPENSION OF LOCAL RULE
18	Plaintiffs,	83.1(b)(2)
19	VS.	
20	KEVIN WASHBURN, in his official	
21	capacity as Assistant Secretary of BUREAU	
	OF INDIAN AFFAIRS;	
22	SALLY JEWELL, in her official capacity as	
23	Secretary of Interior, U.S. DEPARTMENT	
24	OF THE INTERIOR; GREGORY A. McKAY, in his official	
	capacity as Director of ARIZONA	
25	DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
- '		

Pursuant to LRCiv 83.6, as well as this court's inherent power to regulate its own proceedings, attorney for Plaintiffs Kent N. Campbell, Esq., ("Applicant") hereby moves that the Court suspend, for good cause shown, two provisions of LRCiv 83.1(b)(2) which would otherwise render Applicant ineligible for admission to this Court *pro hac vice*. Specifically, (i) the Applicant resides in Arizona; and (ii) is regularly employed in Arizona. Applicant asks that the Court, pursuant to LRCiv 83.6 and its inherent power to regulate its own proceedings, authorize the *pro hac vice* admission of Applicant for purposes of this proceeding.

In support hereof, Applicant states as follows:

- 1. Applicant is an attorney at law with 35 years' litigation experience in state and federal courts, having first been admitted to practice law in the state of Nebraska in 1981. Applicant is also admitted to practice law in Colorado (admitted in 1983), and is admitted to the bars of the United States District Court for the Districts of Nebraska (1981) and Colorado (1983), the United States Courts of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (1981) and the Tenth Circuit (1983), and the United States Supreme Court (1988). Applicant is now, and always has been, in good standing in all jurisdictions in which he has been admitted to practice. He has no record of discipline by any bar.
- 2. Applicant is eligible for, and has applied for, admission on motion (by reciprocity) to the State Bar of Arizona.
- 3. However, Applicant asserts on information and belief, that that application will require several months to be fully processed, thereby delaying for a significant period of time Applicant's admission to practice in Arizona state courts, which thereby also delays Applicant's eligibility to seek admission to practice in this Court pursuant to LRCiv 83.1(a) ("Admission to . . . the bar of this Court is limited to . . . active members in good standing of the State Bar of Arizona.").

4. Applicant became a primary resident in the state of Arizona in September,

2015, and began employment with the Goldwater Institute in Phoenix on

January 1, 2016. He is currently working as a law clerk on matters within

the state of Arizona, and as a senior attorney in matters outside of the state of

Arizona. Applicant, because of his extensive litigation experience in federal

trial and appellate courts, has been instructed by the Goldwater Institute to

seek admission to this Court and, if admitted, make entry of appearance as an

attorney of record on behalf of Plaintiffs in Carter v. Washburn, in

substitution for Clint Bolick, former Vice President for Litigation at

Goldwater Institute, who recently withdrew as counsel for Plaintiffs due to

his appointment on January 6, 2016, as a justice on the Arizona Supreme

- Court.5. Applicant is accordingly submitting along with this motion, a motion for admission to appear in this court *pro hac vice* on behalf of Plaintiffs.
 - 6. Goldwater Institute currently has no other employed full-time attorney admitted to practice in this Court with the years of experience in federal trial and appellate practice as the Applicant has. The Institute has a small number of attorneys in its employ in any event. This case is a complex, high profile, proposed class action lawsuit that challenges the constitutionality of provisions of the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978 ("ICWA"), 25 U.S.C. § 1901, et seq., and of the Guidelines for State Courts and Agencies in Indian Child Custody Proceedings, 80 Fed. Reg. 10146, 10153, B.4(d)(3) (February 25, 2015) ("the New Guidelines"), both facially and as applied. The significance of this case to the litigants and to the public justifies prompt and extraordinary measures to ensure adequate legal representation for the Plaintiffs and adequate presentation of the issues to the Court.
 - 7. While able and capable co-counsel Michael W. Kirk, Esq., Brian W. Barnes, Esq., and Harold S. Reeves, Esq., all with the Washington, D.C. law firm

Cooper & Kirk, PLLC, have been admitted *pro hac vice* and entered their appearances, their co-counsel representation is not an adequate substitute for *local* representation of Plaintiffs by highly experienced litigation counsel in addition to able and capable local counsel Aditya Dynar, Esq.

- 8. LRCiv 83.6 provides: "Upon application, or upon the Court's own motion, any Judge of this Court may suspend any of these Local Rules for good cause shown."
- 9. Applicant submits that the foregoing factors demonstrate "good cause" for this Court to suspend those provisions of subparagraph (b)(2) making Applicant ineligible for *pro hac vice* admission due to his (i) residence in Arizona, and (ii) regular employment in Arizona. Otherwise, Applicant will be caught in a Catch-22 for several months awaiting action on his application for admission on motion (by reciprocity) to the Arizona Bar. During that period Applicant is unable to obtain admission in this Court pursuant to LRCiv 83.1(a), and, unless relief as requested herein is granted, is also unable to obtain admission in this Court *pro hac vice* pursuant to LRCiv 83(b)(2).
- 10.In such instance, Plaintiffs may suffer a real and continuing hardship and disadvantage due to Applicant's inability to enter his appearance on their behalf, and to assist Mr. Dynar in the daily local responsibilities associated with representation of the Plaintiffs in all matters, including discovery, class certification, motions practice (including dispositive motions), briefing and, if necessary, trial.
- 11.Under the circumstances outlined above, justice would best be served by this Court determining that "good cause" exists for the requested temporary suspension of the application of LRCiv 83.1(b)(2)(i) and (ii). Such suspension would terminate upon the Arizona State Bar's determination of his application for reciprocal admission.

	Case 2:15-cv-01259-NVW Document 148 Filed 02/26/16 Page 5 of 6	
1	Therefore, for good cause shown, Applicant respectfully requests this Court	
2	order suspended the application of LRCiv 83.1(b)(2)(i) and (ii) to Applicant's	
3	Application of Attorney For Admission To Practice Pro Hac Vice Pursuant to	
4	LRCiv 83.1(b)(2) filed contemporaneously herewith.	
5	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26th day of February, 2016 by:	
6	RESTRECTION SERVICION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	
7	/s/ Kent Campbell	
8	Aditya Dynar (031583) Kent Campbell (Pending admission pro hac vice)	
9	Scharf-Norton Center for Constitutional Litigation	
10	at the GOLDWATER INSTITUTE	
11	Michael W. Kirk (admitted pro hac vice)	
12	Brian W. Barnes (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Harold S. Reeves (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
13	COOPER & KIRK, PLLC	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

	Case 2:15-cv-01259-NVW Document 148 Filed 02/26/16 Page 6 of 6		
	CEDTIFICATE OF SEDVICE		
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	Document Electronically Filed and Served by ECF this 26 th day of February		
3	2016.		
4	MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL		
5	John S. Johnson		
6	Dawn R. Williams Gary N. Lento		
7			
8	1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
9	John.Johnson@azag.gov Dawn.Williams@azag.gov		
10	Gary.Lento@azag.gov Melanie.McBride@azag.gov		
11	Joshua.Zimmerman@azag.gov		
12 Steven M. Miskinis			
13	Ragu-Jara Gregg U.S. Department of Justice		
14	ENRD/ Indian Resources Section P.O. Box 7611		
15	Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-7611		
16	Steven.miskinis@usdoj.gov RGregg@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV		
17			
18	/s/ Kris Schlott		
19	Kris Schlott		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
20			