Case: 14-30108, 08/22/2016, ID: 10095665, DktEntry: 16, Page 1 of 9

No. 14-30108

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE,

> > V.

RODNEY TOMMIE STEWART DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA D.C. NO. CR-14-20-BLG-SPW

OPENING BRIEF OF THE UNITED STATES

MICHAEL W. COTTER United States Attorney LORI HARPER SUEK Assistant U.S. Attorney District of Montana James F. Battin Courthouse 2601 Second Avenue North Suite 3200 Billings, MT 59101 Telephone: (406) 247-4653

Attorneys for Appellee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contentsi
Table of Authoritiesii
Statement of Jurisdiction1
Statement of the Issue1
Statement Regarding Oral Argument1
Statement of Case and Argument2
Conclusion2
Statement of Related Cases4
Certificate of Compliance
Certificate of Service

Case: 14-30108, 08/22/2016, ID: 10095665, DktEntry: 16, Page 3 of 9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

United States v. Bryant,		
136 S. Ct. 1954 (2016)	.1,	2

Statutes

18 U.S.C. § 117	1
18 U.S.C. § 117(a)	2
18 U.S.C. § 3231	1
28 U.S.C. § 1291	1

Federal Rules

Fed. R. App. P. 32(a) (7) (C)	5
Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)	1

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

The district court had jurisdiction under 18 U.S.C. § 3231. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. The district court entered its order dismissing the indictment on May 22, 2014. ER 1-16. The United States filed a timely notice of appeal on June 5, 2014. ER 22 (Doc. 29).

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

United States v. Bryant, ____U.S. ___, 136 S. Ct. 1954 (2016), sanctioned the use of tribal court convictions in a prosecution under 18 U.S.C. § 117 in federal court to prove the element that the defendant has two previous convictions for domestic assault. The district court's order, which held that the use of tribal court convictions in a § 117 prosecution violates the Sixth Amendment's right to counsel, is contrary to the controlling authority of *Bryant*. Consequently, the United States requests that this Court remand the case back to the district court for further proceedings.

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

Under Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 34(a), the United States advises the Court of its view that oral argument is unnecessary because the case is controlled by *Bryant*.

STATEMENT OF CASE AND ARGUMENT

In February 2014, Stewart was charged in a one-count indictment with a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 117(a), domestic assault by a habitual offender. ER 16-17. Stewart filed a motion to dismiss the indictment, and the district court granted that motion, holding that the use of tribal court convictions in federal court to prove an element of the offense violates the Sixth Amendment. ER 1-15.

Subsequent to the district court's order, the United States Supreme Court decided *United States v. Bryant*, ____U.S. ___, 136 S. Ct. 1954 (2016). In *Bryant*, the Court considered the same question raised by this appeal – whether the use of tribal court convictions in a § 117 prosecution in federal court violates the Sixth Amendment's right to counsel. *Id.* at 1961-62. The Court held, contrary to the district court in its order dismissing the indictment, that the use of tribal court convictions in a § 117 prosecution does not violate the Sixth Amendment's right to counsel. *Id.* at 1966.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, under the controlling authority of *Bryant*, this Court should remand to the district court for further proceedings.

DATED this 22nd day of August, 2016.

Case: 14-30108, 08/22/2016, ID: 10095665, DktEntry: 16, Page 6 of 9

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL W. COTTER United States Attorney

s/ Lori Harper Suek

LORI HARPER SUEK Assistant United States Attorney Case: 14-30108, 08/22/2016, ID: 10095665, DktEntry: 16, Page 7 of 9

STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES

United States v. William Kirkaldie, CA 14-30109 raises the same issue as in this appeal.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 32(a) (7) (C) and Ninth Circuit Rule 32-1, I

certify that the attached answering brief is proportionately spaced, has a

typeface of 14 points or more, and the body of the argument contains 371

words.

DATED: August 22, 2016

s/ Lori Harper Suek LORI HARPER SUEK Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on August 22, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system.

Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

> *s/ Lori Harper Suek* LORI HARPER SUEK Assistant United States Attorney