

ALEXANDRA R. McINTOSH #166304  
Law Office of Alexandra R. McIntosh, APC  
2214 Faraday Avenue  
Carlsbad, CA 92008  
(760) 753-5357

CAROLYN CHAPMAN #141067  
Law Office of Carolyn Chapman  
P.O. Box 461404  
Escondido, CA 92046  
(619) 916-8420

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Cindy Alegre, an individual, et. al,	)	Case No. 16-cv-2442-AJB-KSC
	)	<b>PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND</b>
	)	<b>RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS'</b>
Plaintiffs,	)	<b>MOTION TO DISMISS</b>
	)	<b>PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED</b>
RYAN ZINKE, Secretary of the Department of the Interior, in his official capacity, et. al.	)	<b>COMPLAINT</b>
	)	Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(1); 12(b)(6)
	)	DATE: August 10, 2017
	)	TIME: 2:00 P.M.
Defendants.	)	CTRM: 4A
	)	JUDGE: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia

**PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'**

**MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW PLAINTIFFS stating their opposition and response to

Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.

1  
2                   **TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
3                   **TO**  
4                   **PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE**  
5                   **TO**  
6                   **DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS**  
7                   **PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v - x
I       INTRODUCTION	1
II      FACTS RELEVANT TO THIS COURT'S JURISDICTION	6
III     RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' "DISCUSSION"	11
IV      ARGUMENT	11
A     THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO HEAR PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS AND TO FASHION AN ENFORCEABLE REMEDY	12
1.    DECLARATORY RELIEF - FIRST CLAIM SAN PASQUAL BLOOD DEGREE OF JOSE JUAN MARTINEZ AND GUADALUPE (ALTO) MARTINEZ	12
2.    DECLARATORY RELIEF - SECOND CLAIM SAN PASQUAL BLOOD DEGREE OF MODESTA (MARTINEZ) CONTRERAS	15

---

28                   **PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION**

29                   **TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

3.	DECLARATORY RELIEF - THIRD CLAIM PLAINTIFFS' SAN PASQUAL BLOOD DEGREE	16
4.	DECLARATORY RELIEF - FOURTH CLAIM DEFENDANTS DENIED PLAINTIFFS EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW WHEN THEY ENROLLED NON-SAN PASQUAL PERSONS INTO THE TRIBE	16
5.	DECLARATORY RELIEF - FIFTH CLAIM DEFENDANTS DENIED PLAINTIFFS DUE PROCESS OF LAW BY FAILING TO DISCLOSE 25 C.F.R. §48.5(f) WAS BEING ADDED TO THE PROPOSED STATUTE.	17
B	THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO ADJUDICATE PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS	18
1.	THIS COURT HAS SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION	18
2.	THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIMS	20
3.	THE PROPRIETY OF AGENCY ACTION IS A FEDERAL QUESTION	22
4.	THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO COMPEL AN OFFICER OF THE UNITED STATES TO PERFORM HIS/HER DUTY	24
5.	THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO ADJUDICATE PLAINTIFFS CLAIMS PURSUANT TO 5 USC §701-760	25

---

28 PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	6.	THIS COURT HAS SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION TO ADJUDICATE CLAIMS	27
2	C	STANDING - PLAINTIFFS HAVE STANDING TO BRING THEIR CAUSES OF ACTION	28
3	D	THERE ARE NO INDISPENSABLE OR NECESSARY PARTIES	31
4	E	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY	33
5	F	UNCONSTITUTIONAL DIMINUTION OF TRIBAL RIGHTS	34
6	G	FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT	34
7	V	CONCLUSION	35
8			
9		PROOF OF SERVICE	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

---

PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1  
2                   **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
3

<u>CASES</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>A.C.L.U. Foundation v. Barr</u>	
952 F. 2d 457, 293 U.S. App. DC 101 (CA DC 1991) .....	21
<u>Aguayo v. Jewell</u>	
14-56909 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. July 8, 2016) .....	26
<u>Alto v. Black</u>	
738 F. 3d 1111 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2013) .....	22, 26, 32
<u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u>	
556 U.S. 662,678 (2009) .....	12
<u>Baciarelli v. Morton</u>	
481 F. 2d. 610, 612 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1973) .....	23
<u>Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents</u>	
403 U.S. 388 (1971) .....	20
<u>Bowen v. Massachusetts</u>	
487 U.S. 879,891 n. 16 (1988) .....	24
<u>Bowen v. Mich. Acad. Of Family Physicians</u>	
476 U.S. 557, 670 (1986) .....	24, 26

---

28                   PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

29                   TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	<u>Cahto Tribe of the Laytonville Rancheria v. Dutschke</u>	
3	715 F 3d. 1225, 1226-29 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2013) .....	23
5	<u>Califano v. Sanders</u>	
6	430 U.S. 99, 104 (1977) .....	23
7	<u>Clinton v. New York</u>	
9	2 U.S. 417 (1998) .....	29
10	<u>Darby v. Cisneros</u>	
12	509 U.S. 137, 146 (1993) .....	23
13	<u>Defenders of Wildlife</u>	
15	504 U.S. 555 (1992) .....	29
16	<u>Earth Island Institute</u>	
18	555 U.S. 448, 493 (2009) .....	29
19	<u>Friends of the Earth v. Laidlaw Environmental Services</u>	
20	528 U.S. 167 (2000) .....	29
21	<u>Goodface v. Grassrope</u>	
23	708 F. 2d 335, 339 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983) .....	23
24	<u>INS v. St. Cyr</u>	
26	553 U.S. 289, 298 (2001) .....	24

---

28                     PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

29                     TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1  
2 Kaw Nation v. Norton

3           405 F 3d. 1317, 1325n. 10 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ..... 23

4  
5 Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife

6           504 U.S. 555, 560 (1992) ..... 28, 29

7  
8 Moapa Band of Paiute Indians v. U.S. Department of Interior

9           747 F. 2d 563, 565-66 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984) ..... 22

10  
11 Powers v. Ohio

12           449 U.S. 400, 411 (1991) ..... 30

13  
14 Runs After v. United States

15           766 F 2d 347, 351 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) ..... 23

16  
17 Savage v. Glendale Union High School

18           323 F. 3d 1036, 1040 n.2 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003) ..... 12

19  
20 Sharkey v. Quarantillo

21           541 F. 3d 75, 83-84 (2d Cir. 2008). ..... 24

22  
23 Shenandoah v. U.S. Department of Interior

24           159 F. 3d 708 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 1998) ..... 23

25  
26 Shields v. Barrow

27           58 U.S. 129 (1854) ..... 31

28  
PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1           South Delta Water Agency v. U.S. Department of Interior , Bureau of Reclamation

2                         767 F. 2d 531 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985) ..... 24

3

4           Spokeo, Inc. V. Robins

5                         136 S.CT. 1540, 1548 (20160) ..... 29

6

7           Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd.

8                         551 U.S. 308, 322 (2007) ..... 23

9

10          United States v. Olmstead

11                         227 U.S. 438 (1928) ..... 22

12

13          Washington v. Daley

14                         173 F 3d. 1158, 1167 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1999) ..... 33

15

16          Williams v. U.S. Department of Agriculture

17                         815 F. 2d 369 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987) ..... 21

18

19          STATUTES

20          5 U.S.C. §§500 - 596 et. seq ..... 18, 26, 27

21          5 U.S.C. §700 ..... 18

22          5 U.S.C. §701(B)(2) ..... 27

23          5 U.S.C. §704 ..... 18, 23, 26

24          5 U.S.C. §706, et. seq. .... 18

1	25 U.S.C. §1-345 .....	19
2	25 U.S.C. §2 .....	19, 25
3	25 U.S.C. §§701-760 .....	25
4	28 U.S.C. §1331 .....	18, 19, 20,
5		34
6	28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(1)-(a)(2) .....	20
7	28 U.S.C. §1346(b) .....	34, 35
8	28 U.S.C. §1361 .....	13, 25
9	26 U.S.C. §1367 .....	19, 27
10	28 U.S.C. §§2201-02 .....	12
11	28 U.S.C. §2401(b) .....	34, 35
12	28 U.S.C. §2671, et. seq. ....	18, 34,
13		35
14	42 U.S.C. §1983 .....	20
15	42 U.S.C. §1985 .....	21

#### **FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE**

24	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(a) .....	5
25	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) .....	12

---

28 PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12 (b)(6) .....	12
2		
3	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 19 .....	31, 32
4		

**OTHER**

6	25 C.F.R. §48 .....	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 18, 19
7		
8		
9		
10	28 C.F.R. §14 .....	34, 35
11		
12	Federal Practice Manual for Legal Aid Attorneys, 3.1 .....	29
13		
14		
15	Reed, Compulsory Joinder of Parties in Civil Actions, 55 Mich. Law Review 327, 342 (1957) .....	32
16		

Dated: July 9, 2017

*/s/ Alexandra R. McIntosh*

---

Alexandra R. McIntosh  
Attorney for Plaintiffs

---

PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION

TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT