	Case 2:18-cv-00859-TSZ	Document 53	Filed 03/20/20	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5 6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
7	DODEDT DOLICETTE et al	I			
8	ROBERT DOUCETTE, et al., Plaintiffs				
9	V.	,	C18-859 TSZ		
10	DAVID BERNHARDT, Secret	ary of	MINUTE ORD	FD	
11	United States Department of the Interior, et al.,	•			
12	Defendar	nts.			
13	The following Minute Order i	s made by dire	ction of the Cour	t the Honorable	
14	The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:				
15	 (1) Plaintiffs' Motion for an Indicative Ruling pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62.1, docket no. 45, is DENIED. In March 2018, defendants (collectively referred to herein as the "BIA") recognized the Nooksack Tribal Council following a December 2017 Special Election to fill four vacant seats. Plaintiffs 				
16					
17	challenged the election under the Ad summary judgment in favor of the B				
18	pending. Plaintiffs now seek an "ind motions brought under Rule 60(b) and	-		-	
19	Plaintiffs do not, however, demonstrate that the "New Evidence" would have had any effect on the ultimate decision of the BIA. At most, the "New Evidence" questions the				
20	timing of the BIA's decision. As noted in the Court's previous Order, the BIA had				
21					
22	addressed the only specific challenge that plaintiffs presented to the BIA and continued				
23					

Case 2:18-cv-00859-TSZ Document 53 Filed 03/20/20 Page 2 of 2

1 2 3 4 5	advance in this litigation, namely that ballots were improperly cast in person, rather than by mail. The BIA ultimately concluded that whether ballots could be submitted by hand or had to be postmarked was "one of tribal law and the BIA decline[d] to insert itself and interpret tribal law in this instance." AR 89. Plaintiffs' "New Evidence" does not address or have any bearing on that conclusion. Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate any basis to overturn the BIA's decision to recognize the Nooksack Tribal Council. ¹ As a result, the Court declines to enter the requested relief. ² (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of				
6	record.				
7	Dated this 20th day of March, 2020.				
8	<u>William M. McCool</u> Clerk				
9	s/Karen Dews				
10	Deputy Clerk				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19	¹ Any failure to disclose the "New Evidence" in responses to a third party's Freedom of Information Act requests is not a basis for the Court to reconsider its previous ruling.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
	MINUTE ORDER - 2				