1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEAN E. WILLIAMS, Deputy Assistant Att U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division SETH M. BARSKY, Chief S. JAY GOVINDAN, Assistant Chief ROBERT P. WILLIAMS, Sr. Trial Attorney KAITLYN POIRIER, Trial Attorney Wildlife & Marine Resources Section Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Tel: 202-307-6623; Fax: 202-305-0275 Email: robert.p.williams@usdoj.gov Email: kaitlyn.poirier@usdoj.gov	1	
9	Attorneys for Federal Defendants		
10	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE NORTHERN	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION	
13	YUROK TRIBE, PACIFIC COAST) Case No. 3:19-cv-04405-WHO	
14	FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATIONS, and INSTITUTE FOR) *STIPULATION TO STAY	
15) LITIGATION	
16	FISHERIES RESOURCES,) * Immediate consideration request	ed
17	Plaintiffs,)	
18	v.)	
19	U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION and)	
20	NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES)	
21	SERVICE,)	
22	Defendants,		
23	and)	
24	KLAMATH WATER USERS)	
25	ASSOCIATION,)	
26	Intervenor-Defendant.)	
27		_	
28			
	İ		

The parties, Yurok Tribe, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, and Institute for Fisheries Resources (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), National Marine Fisheries Service ("NMFS") and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation ("Bureau") (collectively, "Federal Defendants"), and Klamath Water Users' Association ("Defendant-Intervenor") have agreed to stay the above captioned case in its entirety on the terms memorialized in this Stipulated Stay Agreement ("Stipulation"). The parties respectfully request immediate consideration of this Stipulation, by no later than March 31, 2020, due to the imminent commencement of the 2020 irrigation season on April 1, 2020.

WHEREAS, on March 29, 2019, the Bureau completed reinitiated consultation with NMFS pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act ("ESA") on the effects of a five-year plan of operations for the Klamath Project (2019-2024) on ESA-listed species and their critical habitats, including the listed Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast evolutionarily significant unit of coho salmon ("SONCC coho") and the Southern Resident Killer Whale.

WHEREAS, in the written biological opinion ("BiOp") provided to the Bureau at the conclusion of that consultation, NMFS concluded that the proposed operations plan was not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of SONCC coho or destroy or adversely modify its critical habitat.

WHEREAS, on July 31, 2019, Plaintiffs initiated the above-captioned lawsuit which, as amended, challenges, in part, the "no jeopardy" and "no adverse modification" conclusions of NMFS' BiOp, the Bureau's reliance on those conclusions to comply with the ESA, and alleges that the agencies are required to reinitiate consultation, as well as challenging the Bureau's compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") in three separately-enumerated claims.

WHEREAS, on October 18, 2019, Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary injunction on four of their claims relating to the ESA (ECF 27), seeking "to revert to and operate the Klamath Project under" the Bureau's operations plan from 2012, consistent with the BiOp on that operations plan from 2013, "supplemented by the flows required by the injunction issued [in

2017] in *Yurok Tribe v. Bureau of Reclamation* ("*Yurok I*"), No. 16-cv-6863-WHO, ECF 70" (ECF 27-1 at 2), which included a requirement to establish a reserve of 50,000 acre feet of water to be used for an emergency dilution flow(s), if triggered under the criteria set forth in the 2017 injunction.

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2019, the Bureau requested reinitiation of formal ESA Section 7 consultation with NMFS – and also with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service ("FWS") – based on new information that revealed effects of Klamath Project operations on ESA-listed species and critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered.

WHEREAS, in written letters dated November 14, 2019 and December 9, 2019, NMFS and FWS, respectively, accepted the Bureau's request to reinitiate consultation.

WHEREAS, on December 11, 2019, Federal Defendants and Defendant-Intervenor filed oppositions to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction.

WHEREAS, on January 22, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a reply in support of their motion for a preliminary injunction, wherein they requested a modified preliminary injunction to alter the 2019-2024 Operations Plan, as amended and analyzed in the 2019 BiOps, by adding 50,000 acre feet of water to the Environmental Water Account ("EWA") for water year 2020 and until this case is resolved on the merits.

WHEREAS, on January 29, 2020, Federal Defendants filed a motion to exclude or, in the alternative, limit consideration of extra-record materials proffered by Plaintiffs in support of their motion for preliminary injunction (ECF 50).

WHEREAS, on February 4, 2020, Plaintiffs filed an opposition to Federal Defendants' motion to exclude their extra-record materials.

WHEREAS, on February 7, 2020, Federal Defendants filed a combined objection to reply evidence and sur-reply to Plaintiffs' modified injunction request, and Defendant-Intervenor filed a sur-reply to Plaintiffs' modified injunction request.

WHEREAS, on February 14, 2020, Plaintiffs filed a response to Federal Defendants' and Defendant-Intervenor's sur-replies.

WHEREAS, on February 7, 2020, as part of the ongoing reinitiated consultation on the 2019-2024 operations plan, the Bureau provided NMFS with a biological assessment ("2020 BA") of a proposed operations plan for 2020-2024 Project operations.

WHEREAS, the Bureau had requested that NMFS and FWS complete the respective consultations on the Bureau's proposed 2020-2024 operations plan by March 31, 2020 but the parties now agree that it is in the public interest that the agencies have until September 30, 2022.

WHEREAS, if this Stipulation is approved and the litigation is stayed accordingly, the Bureau will develop and submit to the Services a modified or new proposed operations plan in lieu of the one set forth in the 2020 BA, informed by a collaborative process similar to the consultation process that was conducted in regards to the 2012 operations plan and Biological Assessment.

WHEREAS, in letters to NMFS and to FWS dated March 27, 2020, the Bureau has proposed to operate the Klamath Project during the currently-ongoing ESA Section 7 consultations with NMFS and FWS in accordance with a two-and-a-half year interim operations plan ("Interim Plan").

WHEREAS, the parties have negotiated in good faith and have reached the agreement set out below to stay this litigation pending completion of reinitiated consultation and implementation of the Interim Plan, while otherwise preserving all arguments on the merits of this litigation and motions and issues directly or indirectly implicated by the litigation and motion.

WHEREAS, the letters embodying the Bureau's proposed Interim Plan are attached to this Stipulation for the sole purpose of confirming the identity of the letters, and shall not be incorporated as requirements in any order of the Court.

THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Plaintiffs hereby withdraw their motion for preliminary injunction, as modified (ECF 27 & 48).
- 2. Federal Defendants hereby withdraw their motion to exclude, or in the alternative, limit consideration of Plaintiffs' extra-record materials (ECF 50).

- 3. The above-captioned litigation, and all associated deadlines and obligations are hereby stayed until September 30, 2022, provided that the Bureau operates the Klamath Project in accordance with the Interim Plan.
- 4. A party may file a motion in accordance with paragraph 6 seeking to lift the stay, subject to the meet and confer requirements of paragraph 9, if the Bureau deviates from or modifies the Interim Plan prior to September 30, 2022.
- 5. The parties will coordinate in good faith to consider whether an amended Interim Plan is appropriate for 2021 and/or 2022. The stay of litigation will remain in effect in accordance with the other provisions of this Stipulation unless unanimous agreement on an amended Interim Plan is reached.
- 6. A party to this litigation may file a motion with the Court seeking to lift the stay and resume the litigation only on the grounds that the Bureau is not implementing the Interim Plan or complying with any term or condition of this Stipulation. No party may seek specific performance of any term or condition of this Stipulation or the Interim Plan. This prohibition against seeking specific performance has no effect on the enforceability of any pre-existing or independent legal rights and obligations to engage in government-to-government consultation with affected Tribes or to protect Tribal fishing and water rights.
- 7. The parties have entered into this Stipulation so as to avoid further litigation of the Plaintiffs' pending lawsuit, afford more time for completion of the Bureau's ESA consultations, and create opportunity for a more collaborative process for resolving conflicts concerning water in the Klamath Basin. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed to constitute an admission of any issue of fact, law or liability by any of the parties hereto, nor as a concession by any such party that the Interim Plan either does or does not meet, or either is or is not necessary to meet, the needs of ESA-listed species or critical habitat, Tribal trust resources, or any legal requirements. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulation, none of the Parties waives or relinquishes any legal rights, claims or defenses it may have. Subject to paragraphs 2-4 and 6, the non-federal parties: fully reserve any rights to bring any claims, known or unknown, past, present, or future, regarding the Bureau's operation of the Klamath Project and

1	Federal Defendants' compliance with their obligations under the ESA, NEPA, and other	
2	applicable laws; and expressly reserve any rights to challenge or defend any aspect of Federal	
3	Defendants' future operations plans after the stay is lifted and Federal Defendants' compliance	
4	with the ESA, NEPA, the government's trust responsibility, and other applicable laws. Federal	
5	Defendants fully reserve all defenses to any such claims.	
6	8. Federal Defendants will provide Plaintiffs and Defendant-Intervenors with statu	
7	reports on the progress of the reinitiated consultations every six months after this Stipulation	
8	becomes effective.	
9	9. If any disputes arise concerning the Interim Plan or the terms of this Stipulation,	
10	the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to resolve the dispute before seeking to lift th	
11	stay of this litigation.	
12	10. The terms of this Stipulation shall become effective immediately upon its	
13	approval by the Court.	
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
15	Dated: March 27, 2020	
16	Respectfully submitted,	
17	JEAN E. WILLIAMS and PRERAK SHAH,	
18	Deputy Assistant Attorneys General	
19	SETH M. BARSKY, Chief S. JAY GOVINDAN, Assistant Chief	
	U.S. Department of Justice	
20	Environment and Natural Resources Division	
21	/s/ Robert P. Williams	
22	ROBERT P. WILLIAMS, Sr. Trial Attorney KAITLYN POIRIER, Trial Attorney	
23	Wildlife and Marine Resources Section	
24	Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611	
25	(202) 307-6623 (tel)	
26	(202) 305-0275 (fax) robert.p.williams@usdoj.gov	
27	kaitlyn.poirier@usdoj.gov	
28		

1	/s/ Thomas K. Snodgrass
	THOMAS K. SNODGRASS, Sr. Attorney Natural Resources Section
2	999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 370
3	Denver, CO 80202
4	Telephone: 303-844-7233
5	Thomas.snodgrass@usdoj.gov
_	Attorneys for Federal Defendants
6	
7	/s/ Patti A. Goldman (with permission on 3/26/2020)
8	PATTI A. GOLDMAN (WSBA # 24426) [Pro Hac Vice]
	KRISTEN L. BOYLES (CSBA # 158450)
9	ASHLEY BENNETT (WSBA # 53748) [Pro Hac Vice]
10	Earthjustice
11	810 Third Avenue, Suite 610 Seattle, WA 98104
11	Ph: (206) 343-7340 Fax: (206) 343-1526
12	kboyles@earthjustice.org
13	pgoldman@earthjustice.org
	abennett@earthjustice.org
14	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, Institute for Fisheries Resources, and Yurok Tribe
16	Issociations, Institute for I islicites Resources, and I aron 17100
	/s/ Amy Cordalis (with permission on 3/26/2020)
17	AMY CORDALIS (CSBA # 321257)
18	Yurok Tribe
	190 Klamath Blvd. P.O. BOX 1027
19	Klamath, CA 95548
20	Ph: (707) 482-1350 Fax: (707) 482-1377
21	acordalis@yuroktribe.nsn.us
21	
22	DANIEL CORDALIS (CSBA #321722)
23	Cordalis Law, P.C. 2910 Springer Drive
	McKinleyville, CA 95519
24	Ph: (303) 717-4618
25	dcordalislaw@gmail.com
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff Yurok Tribe
27	1 Indineys joi I minig I mon 11 we
28	

/s/ Paul S. Simmons (with permission on 3/24/2020) 1 SOMACH SIMMONS & DUNN, PC, A Professional Corporation PAUL S. SIMMONS, ESQ. (SBN 127920) 2 JARED S. MUELLER, ESQ. (SBN 257659) 3 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 Sacramento, CA 95814 4 Telephone: (916) 446-7979 Facsimile: (916) 446-8199 5 psimmons@somachlaw.com 6 jmueller@somachlaw.com 7 Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor Klamath Water Users Association 8 9 THE STIPULATION IS APPROVED, AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT 10 THE LITIGATION IS STAYED 11 12 Dated: March 27, 2020 13 _W.De 14 15 United States District Court Judge 16 17 ATTORNEY ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE 18 I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence in the filing for the signature of all counsel 19 indicated by a "conformed" signature ("/s/") within this e-filed document, in accordance with Civil 20 L.R. 5-1(i). 21 Dated: March 27, 2020 22 /s/ Robert P. Williams 23 ROBERT P. WILLIAMS, Sr. Trial Attorney 24 U.S. Department of Justice **Environment and Natural Resources Division** 25 Wildlife and Marine Resources Section Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 7611 26 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 27 (202) 305-0206 (tel) | (202) 305-0275 (fax) robert.p.williams@usdoj.gov 28