| | Case 2:19-cv-01263-JCC Docum | ent 48 Filed 08/11/20 Page 1 of 4 |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | ELILE ADAMS, | NO. 2:19-cv-1263 JCC |
| 12 | Petitioner, | SUPPLEMENT TO PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE'S |
| 13 | v. | SECOND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |
| 14 | RAYMOND DODGE, et al., | |
| 15 | Respondents. | |
| 16 | Petitioner Elile Adams supplements her objections to the Magistrate's July 13, 2020, | |
| 17 | Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), with clear evidence that the Nooksack Tribal Court | |
| 18 | remains an unfair, biased tribunal. | |
| 19 | Yesterday Petitioner received an ex par | te email communication from Tribal Court Clerk |
| 20 | Deanna Francis that was intended for Responde | ents' defense counsel in this action, Charles Hurt. |
| 21 | Fifth Declaration of Elile Adams ("Adams Dec | ."), Ex. A; Dkt. # 26. ¹ Ms. Francis inadvertently |
| 22 | sent that email to Petitioner, in response to Petitioner's August 3, 2020, email, asking about the | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | ¹ On November 27, 2019, Mr. Hurt and the Nooksack Ind behalf of Respondents Mr. Francis, Betty Leathers, and th SUPPLEMENT PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO MA | |

SECOND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

| | Case 2:19-cv-01263-JCC Document 48 Filed 08/11/20 Page 2 of 4 | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | status of her Tribal Court <i>Pro Se</i> Application for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus. Id.</i> ; Dkt. # 47-1. Ms. | |
| 2 | Francis <i>ex parte</i> email to Mr. Hurt reads in pertinent part: | |
| 3 | Good morning, Chuck; | |
| 4 | Could you please advise on what I can inform Miss Elile Adams? I am | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | the code that she seems to think she knows what the court should be doing. | |
| 7 | This has been my response to her the last several emails she has been sending me: | |
| 8 | "The Nooksack Tribal Court Clerk's Office is not permitted to provide legal advice. If you have questions about Nooksack Court rules or procedures, please | |
| 9 | consult your legal representative. If you do not have legal representation, the Nooksack Office of Tribal Attorney maintains a referral service for your | |
| 10 | assistance. In addition, the Northwest Justice Project and the Whatcom County Bar Association maintain rosters of attorneys who may be willing to assist you on a reduced fee or free basis." | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Please help and thank you, | |
| 13 | Deanna Francis Tribal Court Clerk | |
| 14 | Adams Decl., Ex. A (bolding added; italics in original). Ms. Francis' request to <i>defense counsel</i> | |
| 15 | of record for advice regarding how to "flat out" reject Petitioner's request for administrative | |
| 16 | guidance violates due process, judicial and legal ethics, and is crystal clear evidence of the Tribal | |
| 17 | Court's bad faith conduct towards Petitioner. ² | |
| 18 | It is now plain that Respondents have no intention of considering Petitioner's application | |
| 19 | for pro se writ of habeas corpus. This Court can now see and establish for itself that what | |
| 20 | Petitioner declared last week is true: "Respondents will continue to deny Petitioner any access to | |
| 21 | justice." Dkt. # 46 at 6; see also Dkt. # 47 at 2 ("I have no doubt that Respondents will not | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | ² This is not the first time that the Tribal Court Clerks have sought or received unethical advice from the Tribe's defense lawyers. In 2016, Connie Sue Martin, the then managing partner of Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt's Seattle office, was caught advising Respondents Francis and Leathers to reject Plaintiffs' counsel's own <i>pro se</i> Tribal Court | |

and Court of Appeals filings and drafting unsigned letters from those Respondents to substantiate the rejections. 24 Fourth Declaration of Gabriel S. Galanda, Ex. A. It was this unethical behavior, in particular, that caused the Washington State Bar Association ("WSBA") to declare that the Tribal Court is probably not worthy of the

description "justice system." Dkt. # 30-14 at 4. This Court should order Mr. Hurt to show cause as to why this 25 Court should not sanction him or refer him to the WSBA for disciplinary action. SUPPLEMENT PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE'S SECOND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 2

Case 2:19-cv-01263-JCC Document 48 Filed 08/11/20 Page 3 of 4

consider my pro se application for writ of habeas corpus or issue any writ 'without a delay."")³ 1 2 Based on this latest revelation, Petitioner renews her alternative claim that Respondents' bad 3 faith eliminates any need for her to exhaust Tribal Court remedies. Grand Canyon Skywalk Dev., LLC v. 'Sa' Nyu Wa Inc., 715 F.3d 1196, 1201 (9th Cir. 2013) (exhaustion not required where a 4 5 tribal court "acts in bad faith to avoid the requirement to exhaust tribal court remedies."); Acres v. Blue Lake Rancheria, No. 16-5391, 2017 WL 733114, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 24, 2017) (a tribal 6 7 court acts in bad faith when it fails to provide "a fair and neutral proceeding").

The Tribal Court does not deserve comity from this Court, as the Magistrate has recommended. Dkt. # 45 at 11. Petitioner's unconditional freedom is the only legal relief owing from this Court.

DATED this 11th day of August 2020.

8

9

10

11

12

21

GALANDA BROADMAN, PLLC

13 s/Ryan D. Dreveskracht Gabriel S. Galanda, WSBA #30331 14 Ryan D. Dreveskracht, WSBA #42593 Attorneys for Petitioner 8606 35th Avenue NE, Suite L1 15 P.O. Box 15146, Seattle, WA 98115 16 (206) 557-7509 Fax: (206) 299-7690 Email: gabe@galandabroadman.com 17 Email: ryan@galandabroadman.com 18 19 20 22 23 24 ³ Ms. Francis' suggestion that Petitioner has somehow not "followed through with the code" is mistaken. Adams Decl., Ex. A. Petitioner properly waits for the Tribal Court to issue a writ "without a delay" so it can be served upon 25 Respondents along with her habeas corpus application "in the same manner as a summons." Dkt. # 13 at 91. SUPPLEMENT PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE'S

SECOND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 3

| | Case 2:19-cv-01263-JCC Document 48 Filed 08/11/20 Page 4 of 4 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | | | |
| 2 | I, Wendy Foster, declare as follows: | | | |
| 3 | 1. I am now and at all times herein mentioned a legal and permanent resident of the | | | |
| 4 | United States and the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, not a party to the | | | |
| 5 | above-entitled action, and competent to testify as a witness. | | | |
| | 2. I am employed with the law firm of Galanda Broadman PLLC, 8606 35 th Avenue | | | |
| 6 | NE, Ste. L1, Seattle, WA 98115. | | | |
| 7 | 3. Today, I electronically filed the foregoing with the clerk of the Court using the | | | |
| 8 | CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the parties registered in the | | | |
| 9 | Court's CM/ECF system. | | | |
| 10 | Signed at Seattle, Washington, this 11th day of August 2020. | | | |
| 11 | s/Wendy Foster Wendy Foster | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 23 | SUPPLEMENT PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE'S SECOND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 4GALANDA BROADMAN, PLLC Mailing: P.O. Box 15146 Seattle, Washington 98115 | | | |