UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

SOUTHERN DIVISION BRUCE J. HALL, Plaintiff,

v.
GRETCHEN WHITMER,
GOVERNOR OF MICHIGAN,
AND ARRON PAYMENT,
CHAIRPERSON SAULT STE.

MARIE TRIBE

No. 2:19-cv-11909 HON. DAVID M. LAWSON MAG. ELIZABETH A. STAFFORD

Objection to Recommendation summary Judgment & Request For Extension

Defendants.
Bruce J. Hall
Plaintiff, in propria persona
1802 Rochester Road
Leonard, MI 48367
(810) 627-0046
brucejameshall@yahoo.com

Laura R. LaMore (P79943)
Jaclyn Shoshana Levine (P58938)
Assistant Attorneys General
Attorneys for the Governor
Environment, Natural Resources,
and Agriculture Division
P.O. Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7664
LaMoreL1@michigan.gov
LevineJ2@michigan.gov

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

I feel I am being shoved out of court to close a case & not taken seriously. Yes

Native Americans Have Rights off the reservations, At very least the March 1972

United States Commission (Native American Civil Rights Handbook) Clearly are
the individual rights of American Indians on or off the Reservations, as it states
within the contents of the handbook. Is this court not honoring this US
commission of rights? I do not see this commission addressed anyplace or that it
states to make a 42 1983 claim as judicial post review.

In my opinion this summary judgment is assimilation in its truest form & I refuse to believe the Michilimackinac tribes legacies of Men and Woman such as me & the others that have been enrolled in the Sault Tribe, the treaties we are supposed to beneficiaries of mean nothing today.

During the 1979 United States v Michigan the Sault Tribe claimed the supremacy clause. This is based on the very same signature part of the evidence before this court today. I submitted the genealogy & supporting birth certificates leading directly to the physical signature to several United States Treaties so there was no dispute. A family members signature Ance of Michilimackinac is associated today with Sault Ste Marie Tribe & Michigan has already stated the Sault Tribe Being Party to the Treaty Of Washington DC 1836.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

My objection to the 1981 claim needs to be noted I am not seeking an inheritance of rights to claim as my own, Would the court & Magistrate prefer if I invited 50,000 of Michilimackinac Descendants whom are enrolled into the Sault Tribe exactly like me that remain excluded from the Sault Tribe Constitution down to the court house to sign on this litigation? Any rights are all of the people's! In my mind I am part of the legacy that physically signed US Treaties & I have tried to simply identify myself off the reservation & as one of the persons having Federal rights judge Fox ruling US v Michigan 1979. It's always been my understanding the treaties that have been signed are my rights is this not the truth?

At this time I am requesting an extension to give me time to object properly, both motions to dismiss. I have been given only 14 days to complete answers to both & find the task very difficult in this time frame.

Thank You

Bruce J Hall

3

Case 2:19-cv-11909-DML-EAS ECF No. 30, PageID.529 Filed 08/12/20 Page 4 of 4

Page 4 of 4 Leonard MI 48367

Page 4 of 4 Leonard MI 48367

Page 4 of 4 Leonard MI 48367

8977777-9000

office of the clerk united states District Court 131 w Lafayethe St. Detroit mit 48220

METROPLEX MI 450

NAN SES PHIST

8/11/10

