1	ØŠÖÖ
2	G€FJÁRWÞÁGÌÁ€HKHÌÁÚT SQÞÕÁÔUWÞVŸ
3	ÙWÚÒÜŒŰÜÁÔUWÜVÁÔŠÒÜS ÒËZŒŠÒÖ
4	ÔŒÙÒÁNÆFJËŒËÎÎÌÏ€ÊÎÂÛÒŒ
5	
6	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY
8	GALANDA BROADMAN, PLLC, a) Washington professional limited liability) company,) No.
9)
10	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
11))
12	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON) LLP, a foreign limited liability company; ROB)
13	ROY EDWARD STUART SMITH, an) individual; and RACHEL SAIMONS, an)
14	individual,
15	Defendants.)
16	
17	COME NOW Plaintiff Galanda Broadman, PLLC, by and through its attorneys, Corr
18	Downs PLLC, and hereby states and alleges as follows:
19	I. <u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Plaintiff Galanda Broadman, PLLC ("Galanda Broadman") is a Washington
21	professional limited liability with its principal place of business located at 8606 35th Avenue
22	NE, Suite L1, Seattle, WA 98115, in King County.
23	2. Defendant Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton ("Kilpatrick Townsend") is a
24	Georgia limited liability partnership doing business at 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3700, Seattle,
25	WA 98101 in King County, Washington.
26	3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rob Roy Edward Stuart Smith
27	("Smith") is an individual residing in King County, Washington.
-,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 1 Case No. CORR Downs PLLC 100 WEST HARRISON STREET SUITE N440 SEATTLE, WA 98119 206.962.5040

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rachel Saimons ("Saimons") is an individual residing in King County, Washington.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Superior Court of Washington for King County has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to RCW 2.08.010.
- 6. Jurisdiction is proper in the State of Washington pursuant to RCW 4.28.185 because the cause of action as alleged herein arose out of activities, including the commission of tortious acts, within the State of Washington.
- 7. Venue is proper in this case because all events relating to Galanda Broadman's case transpired in King County, Washington, Defendants reside in this County, and a substantial portion of the transactions and wrongs complained of herein, including Defendants' primary participation in the wrongful acts detailed herein, occurred in this County.

III. FACTS

A. About the Parties.

- 8. Galanda Broadman is an American Indian-owned boutique law firm specializing in the advancement of tribal legal rights and Indian business interests. Founded in 2010 by Gabe Galanda and Anthony Broadman, the firm currently employs approximately eight attorneys.
- 9. Kilpatrick Townsend is an international law firm headquartered in Atlanta, GA. The firm has 19 offices and approximately 650 attorneys. Kilpatrick Townsend established its Seattle office in 1989, where it employs approximately 35 attorneys.
- 10. Smith is an attorney and partner at Kirkpatrick Townsend. Upon information and belief, Smith is the Co-Team Leader for Native American Affairs at Townsend Kilpatrick. Saimons is an associate attorney at Kilpatrick Townsend. Upon information and belief, Saimons also focuses her practice on Native American Affairs. Kilpatrick Townsend is liable for all actions of its attorneys, including Smith and Saimons, under the doctrine of respondent superior. The conduct of these attorneys was implicitly ratified by Kirkpatrick Townsend.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 2 Case No.

11. Beginning in January, 2017, Galanda Broadman represented certain members of the Nooksack Indian Tribe in a federal lawsuit, *Margretty Rabang, et al. v. Robert Kelly, Jr., et al.*, Case No. 2:17-CV-00088-JCC (the "*Rabang* Action"), alleging that tribal officials had violated the federal Racketeer and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1964. One of the defendants in the *Rabang* lawsuit, Raymond Dodge, was and continues to be represented by Smith and Saimons of Kirkpatrick Townsend. As of the filing of this Complaint, the *Rabang* Action is the subject of a pending appeal before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, Appeal No. 18-35711. The *Rabang* Action has been a particularly contentious lawsuit between certain parties and their counsel, including Galanda Broadman and Kirkpatrick Townsend.

B. Galanda Broadman Represented the Nisqually Indian Tribe Pursuant to a Contract for Professional Services.

- 12. On or about June 25, 2015, Galanda Broadman entered into a written Contract for Professional Services (the "Services Agreement") with the Nisqually Indian Tribe (the "Tribe"). The parties renewed the Services Agreement on January 1, 2017 with a clause stating that the Services Agreement would automatically renew annually unless terminated in accordance with its terms. Leona Colegrove, the Tribe's Legal Director, oversaw the Services Agreement. Ms. Colegrove is a former officer of the National American Indian Court Judges Association (the "NAICJA"). At Ms. Colegrove's urging, the NAICJA removed Raymond Dodge (who is represented by Smith and Saimons in the *Rabang* Action) from its membership.
- 13. On March 1, 2018, pursuant to Tribal Council Resolution No. 34-2017, the Tribe entered into a contingency fee-based Representation Agreement with a Minneapolis law firm, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Galanda Broadman, to represent the Tribe in Multi-District Litigation in the Northern District of Ohio against certain manufacturers and distributors of opioids (the "MDL Action"). Under the Representation Agreement, Galanda Broadman would receive five percent (5%) of Robin Kaplan LLP's twenty percent (20%) gross recovery, if any.
- C. Kirkpatrick Townsend, Smith, and Saimons Intentionally Interfered with Galanda Broadman's Contracts with the Tribe.

- 14. In May 2018, Ms. Colegrove separated her employment from the Tribe. Galanda Broadman continued to provide legal services under the Services Agreement under the direction of two different successors to Ms. Colegrove.
- 15. On June 6, 2018, the Tribe posted a Request for Proposal (the "RFP") for a Special Prosecutor to conduct an investigation regarding the circumstances surrounding Ms. Colegrove's departure from the Tribe. Smith and Saimons, on behalf of Kirkpatrick Townsend, submitted a response to the RFP. Upon further information and belief, Smith and Saimons made no disclosure to the Tribe regarding the conflicts existing between themselves and Galanda Broadman or Ms. Colegrove concerning the *Rabang* Action and the removal of Mr. Dodge from the NAIJCA. The Tribe awarded the Special Prosecutor contract to Kirkpatrick Townsend, Smith, and Saimons.
- 16. In the course of their work as Special Prosecutor for the Tribe, Smith and Saimons had access to and knowledge of the Tribe's Services Agreement with Galanda Broadman, as well as the MDL Action contingency-fee agreement between the Tribe, Robins Kaplan PLLC, and Galanda Broadman.
- 17. On or before November 13, 2018, Smith and Saimons purported to complete their investigation of Ms. Colegrove. At no time during their investigation did Smith or Saimons interview or request to interview any representatives of Galanda Broadman or Ms. Colegrove. Smith and Saimons issued a report to the Tribe which included irrelevant, false and misleading claims that Galanda Broadman had engaged in unethical conduct in connection with the departure of Ms. Colegrove. In addition, in December 2018, Smith and Saimons delivered an oral presentation to the Tribe wherein they continued to defame Galanda Broadman with allegations of unethical conduct and recommending that the Tribe file an ethics complaint against Galanda Broadman to the Washington State Bar Association ("WSBA"). Smith and Saimons made these false statements to the Tribe for the improper purpose of harming Galanda Broadman and to damage the contractual and business relationships between the Tribe and Galanda Broadman.

- 18. On December 7, 2018, the Tribe terminated its Services Agreement with Galanda Broadman upon thirty days' notice. As a consequence, Galanda Broadman was forced to withdraw its representation of the tribe in actions pending in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington and the MDL Action in the Northern District of Ohio.
- 19. On December 19, 2018, the Tribe filed an ethics complaint with the WSBA against Ms. Colegrove, which Smith and Saimons prepared for the Tribal Chairman's signature. In footnote 3, Smith and Saimons made further false and misleading allegations against Galanda Broadman, including that Galanda Broadman had drafted Ms. Colegrove's severance agreement and failed to review the agreement with the Tribal Council before it was executed. Both statements are demonstrably false, as Smith and Saimons either knew or would have known had they conducted a proper investigation rather than use their role as a Special Prosecutor to malign Galanda Broadman.
- 20. As a direct and foreseeable result of the actions of Smith, Saimons, and Kirkpatrick Townsend, Galanda Broadman has suffered significant economic and non-economic injuries, including damage to its reputation within the Tribe.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP

- 21. Galanda Broadman re-alleges and hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs.
- 22. To recover on a claim for tortious interference with contractual relations, a party must prove (1) that at the time of the conduct at issue, the plaintiff had a contractual relationship with a probability of future economic benefit for the plaintiff; (2) that the defendant knew of the existence of the contractual relationship; (3) that the defendant intentionally induced or cause the termination of the contractual relationship; (4) that the defendant's interference was for an improper purpose or by improper means; and (5) that the defendant's conduct was a proximate cause of damages to the plaintiff.
- 23. From June 25, 2015 through December 7, 2018, the Tribe and Galanda Broadman were parties to a Services Agreement whereby Galanda Broadman provided legal COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 5
 Case No.

 CORR | Downs PLLC 100 WEST HARRISON STREET

13

10

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

services to the Tribe in exchange for payment of fees. In addition, on March 1, 2018, the Tribe entered into a contingency fee agreement with Robins Kaplan LLP and Galanda Broadman, whereby Galanda Broadman would share in the gross recovery of multi-district litigation pending in the Northern District of Ohio.

- 24. Through their work as a Special Prosecutor for the Tribe, Smith and Saimons had knowledge of the above-referenced contractual relationships between the Tribe and Galanda Broadman.
- After conducting an incomplete investigation, Smith and Saimons issued a 25. report to the Tribe which included irrelevant, false and misleading claims that Galanda Broadman had engaged in unethical conduct in connection with the departure of Ms. Colegrove as the Tribe's Legal Director. In addition, in December 2018, Smith and Saimons delivered an oral presentation to the Tribe wherein they continued to defame Galanda Broadman with allegations of unethical conduct and recommending that the Tribe file an ethics complaint with the WSBA against Galanda Broadman.
- 26. Smith and Saimons made the aforementioned false statements to the Tribe for the improper purpose of harming Galanda Broadman and to damage the contractual and business relationships between the Tribe and Galanda Broadman. Among other reasons, Smith and Saimons were motivated in their improper purpose by proper and legal actions taken by Galanda Broadman and its clients in the Rabang Action, as well as the removal of Raymond Dodge from the membership of the NAICJA.
- 27. The aforementioned actions by Smith and Saimons directly resulted in the Tribe's termination of its Services Agreement with Galanda Broadman, as well as the termination of Galanda Broadman's participation in the MDL Action.
- 28. The aforementioned intentional interference with Galanda Broadman's contractual relationships with the Tribe caused economic and non-economic damages and injuries to Galanda Broadman in an amount to be proven.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

V. <u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:</u>

VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 29. Galanda Broadman re-alleges and hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs.
- 30. To recover on a claim for violation of the Washington Consumer Protection Act, a party must prove (1) that the defendant engaged in an unfair or deceptive act or practice; (2) that the act or practice occurred in the course of the defendant's trade or commerce; (3) that the act or practice affects the public interest; (4) that the plaintiff was injured in its business or property; and (5) that the defendant's conduct was a proximate cause of damages to the plaintiff.
- 31. Smith and Saimons engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices by making false and misleading statements regarding Galanda Broadman to the Tribe as part of their investigation of Ms. Colegrove. In addition, they engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices by recommending that the Tribe pursue an ethics complaint against Galanda Broadman without conducting any interviews of Galanda Broadman or Ms. Colegrove. These actions had the capacity to deceive a substantial portion of the public.
- 32. The aforementioned unfair and deceptive acts were undertaken by Smith and Saimons as part of their commercial activities, including as attorneys serving as a Special Prosecutor for the Tribe.
- 33. The aforementioned unfair and deceptive acts affect the public interest. Under the Washington Rules of Professional Conduct, lawyers have a duty to refrain from knowingly making false statements of material fact or law to third persons. Violation of these rules erodes and harms the public's trust in the legal system, which negatively affects the public interest.
- 34. The aforementioned actions by Smith and Saimons directly resulted in the Tribe's termination of its Services Agreement with Galanda Broadman, as well as the termination of Galanda Broadman's participation in the MDL Action.
- 35. The aforementioned violation of the Washington Consumer Protection Act caused economic and non-economic damages and injuries to Galanda Broadman in an amount to be proven.