1	TODD KIM	
2	Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice	
3	ARWYN CARROLL (MA Bar 675926)	
4	LEILANI DOKTOR (HI Bar 11201) Natural Resources Section	
5	P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611	
6	Phone: (202) 305-0465 Fax: (202) 305-0506	
7	arwyn.carroll@usdoj.gov leilani.doktor@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for Federal Defendants	
9		NOTE NOTE OF STATE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11		
12	BARTELL RANCH LLC, et al.,	Case No. 3:21-cv-80-MMD-CLB Related Case No. 3:21-cv-103-MMD-CLB
13	Plaintiffs, v.	(Consolidated)
14		
15	ESTER M. MCCULLOUGH, et al., Defendants.	
16	D Grondanto.	
17	WESTERN WATERSHEDS PROJECT,	FEDERAL DEFENDANTS'
18	et al.,	CONSOLIDATED SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF-
19	Plaintiffs, v.	INTERVENORS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
20	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	FRELIVINARY INJUNCTION
21	THE INTERIOR, et al.,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Fed. Defs' Consolidated Surreply

28 (Sept. 30. 2013)).

Federal Defendants file this consolidated surreply in opposition to Plaintiff-Intervenors' motion for a preliminary injunction pursuant to the parties' agreement and the Court's Order of August 24, 2021¹ to address evidence raised in the replies filed by the Burns Paiute Tribe, the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony (RSIC), and Atsa koodakuh wyh Nuwu/People of Red Mountain (the People).

In its Reply, the Burns Paiute Tribe argues that, because BLM invited the Burns Paiute Tribe to consult in connection with human remains and funerary objects removed by a third party from Elephant Mountain Cave, BLM "knows of the important connection of" the Burns Paiute Tribe to Thacker Pass.²

The repatriation of remains from the Elephant Mountain Cave was conducted under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 28 U.S.C. § 3001 *et seq.*, which casts a broader consultation net than the National Historic Preservations Act. Specifically, it requires an agency to "consult with known lineal descendants and Indian tribe officials" from, among others, Indian tribes "that are, or are likely to be, culturally affiliated with the human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony" or "that have a demonstrated cultural relationship with the human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony." 43 C.F.R. § 10.5(a). The remains and objects found in the Elephant Mountain cave were located in Northern Paiute territory as demarcated by the Indian Claims Commission, and evidenced a relationship to Northern Paiute tribes. So, to satisfy its requirements, NAGPRA obligated BLM to contact tribes—including the Burns Paiute Tribe and RSIC—that have Northern Paiute members. *See* 78 Fed. Reg. at 59959-60. Neither the Burns Paiute Tribe nor RSIC responded. *Id.* at 59959.

¹ ECF No. 79. Consistent with that Order, the portion of this surreply addressing the Burns Paiute Tribe's Reply, combined with Lithium Nevada's surreply addressing the same, will be less than four pages; and the portion addressing RISC's and the People's Reply is less than five pages.

² ECF No. 71 at 3–4 (citing Notice of Inventory Completion, 78 Fed. Reg. 59958, 59959 (Sept. 30. 2013)).

³ See Decl. of Mark Hall ¶ 4, ECF No. 65-26.

⁴ RSIC Reply at 3–4, ECF No. 73 (quoting Decl. of Michon Eben ¶ 18, ECF No. 73-2).

⁵ *See* Fed. Def. Ex. 28, ECF No. 72-2.

In short, that BLM contacted the Burns Paiute Tribe in connection with remains discovered in the Elephant Mountain Cave—which is located over 50 miles from Thacker Pass—under the requirements of NAGPRA does not have any bearing on whether BLM understood the Burns Paiute Tribe to deem Thacker Pass an area of religious or cultural significance under the National Historic Preservation Act. This is especially true in light of BLM's unrebutted evidence that the Burns Paiute Tribe did not inform the Winnemucca Field Office that it considered Thacker Pass to fall within its aboriginal territory before June 27, 2021.³

RSIC and The People also raise two pieces of evidence for the first time in their Reply. Neither supports a demonstration of likelihood of success on the merits or a showing of irreparable harm.

First, RSIC points to several notices from BLM informing RSIC of various projects in Nevada despite "inexplicably fail[ing]" to notify RSIC about the Thacker Pass Project.⁴ But BLM's decision to invite RSIC to consult on those projects, but not on the Thacker Pass Project, is highly explicable, reasonable, and in good faith. Unlike Thacker Pass, each of the project notices invoked by RSIC concerns a project that falls within or overlaps the territory that RSIC informed BLM, in 2015, that it considered culturally significant.⁵ Specifically, the following notices concerned projects that fall entirely within, or appear to fall within, that territory:

- (1) July 6, 2020 Public Scoping Notice for Environmental Assessment of the Baltazor Geothermal Development Project;
- (2) October 1, 2020 Pre-scoping Notice for Utilization Plan and Plan of Development proposed by Ormat Technologies Inc. for Gerlach, NV area;

- (3) May 21, 2021 Environmental Assessment Notice for the North Valley Geothermal Development Project at the San Emidio Geothermal Field; and
- (4) July 21, 2021 Notice of completed Environmental Assessment for the Pueblo Fire House and Public Recreation project.

The following notices addressed projects with broader project areas that at least overlap with that territory:

- (1) August 31, 2020 Environmental Assessment analyzing environmental impacts of protecting and restoring swift-moving waters, slow moving waters, and associated riparian habitats; and
- (2) April 20, 2021 and August 2, 2021 notices concerning the Environmental Assessment for the Calico Complex wild horse and burro gather plan.

Finally, given that RSIC has identified areas of religious and cultural significance within the boundaries of the Winnemucca Field Office, BLM informed RSIC on February 2, 2021 of a notice of staff changes—specifically, those of the Native American coordinator and Humboldt River Field Manager in BLM, Winnemucca Office.

Second, RSIC argues that BLM must have been aware that RSIC attaches religious or cultural significance to Thacker Pass based on field notes from a 1868 survey indicating an attack on an "Indian camp" located in the Quinn River valley approximately 8.5 miles east of Thacker Pass itself.⁶ But the camp described "[a]long the line between" sections 22 and 23 of Township 44N Range 36E is located outside of the Project area on private land—which BLM does not regulate. It does fall within the broader, *indirect* area of potential effects that BLM determined for the Project.⁷ That area "encompass[es] the local viewshed," that is, areas that will be visually impacted by the Project.⁸ By contrast, the excavations required

⁶ See RSIC Reply at 6–7.

⁷ See Federal Defendants' Exhibit 14, HPTP at 3 Fig. 2, ECF No. 65-14.

⁸ *Id.*, HPTP at 1.

by the HPTP in connection with the Phase 1 data collection will occur only in areas of *direct* effect. In fact, no excavation under the HPTP is planned to occur within sections 22 or 23 of Township 44N Range 36E. Accordingly, no excavation under the HPTP would impact the site of the camp identified in the 1868 field survey, if any artifacts remain there, or its immediate vicinity.

Finally, even if the 1868 survey field notes put BLM on notice of a massacre occurring almost 10 miles from Thacker Pass, nothing in those notes indicates that RSIC itself considered the area one of religious or cultural importance. It, too, falls outside the territory that RSIC informed BLM, in 2015, that it considered culturally significant. ¹⁰ And RSIC did not indicate its significance—even in a general way—despite participating in the 2006 ethnographic study.

Respectfully submitted this 25th day of August, 2021.

TODD KIM

Assistant Attorney General
United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resources Div.

/s/ Arwyn Carroll

ARWYN CARROLL (MA Bar 675926)
LEILANI DOKTOR (HI Bar 11201)
Trial Attorney
Natural Resources Section
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
Phone: 202-305-0465
Fax: 202-305-0506
arwyn.carroll@usdoj.gov
leilani.doktor@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

 $^{^9}$ *Id.*, HPTP at 5-6; Second Hall Decl. ¶ 7. *See also* HPTP at 3 Fig. 2 (describing areas of direct and indirect effect).

¹⁰ See Fed. Def. Ex. 28, ECF No. 72-2.